Jordan JJA C S Journal of the American Chemical Society

November 24, 2021 Volume 143 Number 46 pubs.acs.org/JACS





www.acs.org

pubs.acs.org/JACS

Article

Identification and Quantification of Glycans in Whole Cells: Architecture of Microalgal Polysaccharides Described by Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

Alexandre Poulhazan, Malitha C. Dickwella Widanage, Artur Muszyński, Alexandre A. Arnold, Dror E. Warschawski, Parastoo Azadi, Isabelle Marcotte,* and Tuo Wang*



ization of these heterogeneous polymers in cells remains a formidable problem. Here we present a widely applicable protocol for identifying and quantifying the glycan content using magic-angle-spinning (MAS) solid-state NMR (ssNMR) spectroscopy, with validation from glycosyl linkage and composition analysis deduced from mass-spectrometry (MS). Two-dimensional ¹³C–¹³C correlation ssNMR spectra of a uniformly ¹³C-labeled green microalga *Parachlorella beijerinckii* reveal that starch is the most abundant polysaccharide in a naturally



cellulose-deficient strain, and this polymer adopts a well-organized and highly rigid structure in the cell. Some xyloses are present in both the mobile and rigid domains of the cell wall, with their chemical shifts partially aligned with the flat-ribbon 2-fold xylan identified in plants. Surprisingly, most other carbohydrates are largely mobile, regardless of their distribution in glycolipids or cell walls. These structural insights correlate with the high digestibility of this cellulose-deficient strain, and the in-cell ssNMR methods will facilitate the investigations of other economically important algae species.

INTRODUCTION

Microalgae are unicellular species widely distributed in all ecosystems on Earth, forming the basis of the aquatic food chain. These photosynthetic organisms capture carbon dioxide and transform it into storage and structural carbohydrates, whose structure and organization differ markedly from those found in terrestrial plants.¹ With growing economic importance, microalgae have shown attractive applications in the production of biopolymers, the food industry, and environmental applications such as wastewater decontamination.²⁻⁴ Significant efforts have also been devoted to the development of algae-based third-generation biofuel to circumvent the use of agricultural feedstocks and the competition for land. However, the current algal biofuel still lacks energy- and cost-competitiveness.^{5,6} An in-depth understanding of the structure and assembly of microalgal carbohydrates has the potential of facilitating the optimization of algal systems to produce biopolymers, energy, and food. The identification of the structural characteristics of highly digestible strains and corresponding carbohydrate components could guide the genetic engineering of algal strains to improve cell wall breakdown and enhance the production of fermentable glyco-components or biopolymers of interest. Although some crystalline components (such as starch) have

been investigated before, the high-resolution structure determination of other biomolecules in native algal cells, and particularly the highly diverse carbohydrates in the cell wall, remains a formidable problem.⁷

Carbohydrates in microalgae are mainly present in starch grains, glycolipids, and cell wall constituents (Figure 1a). Up to 60% of the dry weight of a microalgal cell can be made of starch, which is polymerized from α -1,4-glucose and α -1,6-glucose units. Starch can be found in crystalline or amorphous forms, thus dictating the bioavailability of this storage molecule (Figure 1b).⁸ The synthesis and bioaccumulation of starch are regulated by the cell growth stage as well as external stress such as nitrogen deprivation, salinity, and oxidative stress.^{9–11} Genomics approaches have been employed to increase the production of starch in microalgae.¹² Glycolipids contain oligosaccharides such as mono- and digalactosyldiacylglycerol

Received: July 16, 2021 Published: November 4, 2021







Figure 1. Microalgal carbohydrates found in starch grains, lipids, and cell walls. (a) Main components of microalgal cells. Carbohydrate-rich constituents (purple) include starch in grains, the galactolipids in the chloroplast and cytoplasm membranes, and polysaccharides or glycoproteins in the cell wall. Representative structures of algal carbohydrates are given in panels b-d. (b) Starch can be found in the amorphous or crystalline forms. The crystalline starch fraction is made of 6-fold double-stranded amylose helices, which packing and arrangement lead to two types of starch,¹⁶ i.e., the A- and B-types as revealed by X-ray crystallography.^{17,18} Amylose polysaccharide helices (green/red for carbon/oxygen) are less tightly packed and more hydrated (blue spheres) in the B-type, as compared to A-type starch. (c) The chloroplast inner membranes and other lipid bilayers contain mono- and digalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG, DGDG) and sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol (SQDG). (d) Cell walls in *Chlorella* and related algal species are rich in glucose (Glc), rhamnose (Rha), xylose (Xyl), ribose (Rib), galactose (Gal), mannose (Man), arabinose (Ara), and fucose (Fuc). Carbon numbers are given in full for Glc and only at key sites for other residues, with carbons 5/6 being the last carbon of a furanose/pyranose hexose unit.

(MGDG and DGDG) as well as sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol (SQDG) (Figure 1c). In the plasma membrane, these lipids are important for cellular recognition and communication, while in the chloroplast membranes, they are essential to photosynthesis. Microalgal cell walls are substantially under-investigated compared to their plant counterparts.^{1,13} Eight monosaccharide types have been reported in the cell walls of the best-studied green microalga *Chlorella*, including glucose (Glc), galactose (Gal), rhamnose (Rha), mannose (Man), xylose (Xyl), arabinose (Ara), fucose (Fuc), and ribose (Rib), each of which could exist in either the furanose (f) or pyranose (p) forms, with variability of linkage patterns and methylated sites^{14,15} (Figure 1d), thus leading to a tremendous diversity in terms of overall cell wall composition and architecture.

The characterization of microalgal and plant glycans has typically been conducted using radiolabeling,¹⁹ gas chromatography and mass spectrometry,^{20–24} as well as solution²⁵ or high-resolution magic-angle spinning (HRMAS)^{26,27} NMR methods. These approaches provide valuable information on glycan composition and connectivity but often require hydrolysis or solubilization procedures that compromise the physical and structural complexity of native biomolecules. Cellular samples can be assessed using in situ solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ssNMR), an emerging nondestructive method with atomic to nanoscale resolution complementing X-ray diffraction and electron microscopy techniques.²⁸ SsNMR has enabled high-resolution characterization of bacterial cells,^{29–34} plant tissues,^{35,36} fungal mycelia and cells,^{37–41} mammalian cells,⁴² viral particles,^{43,44} and recently algal cultures^{45–47} under MAS conditions.

Here we established an MAS ssNMR protocol, aided by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), to quantify the carbohydrate components and determine the glycans' architecture using ¹³C-labeled microalgal cells. This approach is based on 2D MAS ssNMR spectra and has never been employed for algal cell samples. Although complete quantification of the carbohydrate composition has been recently conducted in plant polysaccharides,⁴⁸ it was primarily based on 1D methods with limited resolution. 2D MAS ssNMR experiments are typically conducted to examine polymer interactions and distinguish molecules in mobile or rigid phases, but have rarely been used for quantification.^{49,50} Therefore, this study presents advances in both the methodology and cell types being investigated.

We demonstrated the approach on a strain of green microalgae (*CK-5*), which was first identified as *Chlorella vulgaris* based on its morphology,⁵¹ but later renamed *Parachlorella beijerinckii* following genomic sequencing.⁵² *CK-5* naturally shows a negligible cellulose content. This strain has also unique capabilities of producing high-value fermentable glycans and more importantly secreting polysaccharides attached to the cell surface,^{53,54} resulting in a soft and digestible cell wall that facilitates oil extraction and increases bioavailability.^{55,56} This strain can also effectively eliminate heavy metals,^{49–51} which is of great interest for phytoremediation processes.

The carbohydrate composition and glycan structures of Parachlorella and this important CK-5 strain has yet to be determined. So far, information can only be deduced from Chlorella, another genus within the same Chlorellaceae family. The Chlorella genus is also debated, even if this group is described as pyrenoid-containing alga-clade, and is one of the most-studied phototrophic eukaryotes, with more than 100 strains described, compassing 37 taxonomically acknowledged species⁵⁷ found in freshwater, marine, and soil habitats.^{58,59} In general, the most abundant monosaccharides identified in Chlorella and Parachlorella cell walls are glucose, galactose, and rhamnose,^{13,60} which are glycan units commonly associated with cell surface interactions in algae and in bacteria.^{61,62} Most publications agree that Chlorella microalgae generally contain cellulose (rich in I α allomorph) and alkali-soluble hemicellulose,⁶³⁻⁶⁵ but other assemblies have also been reported, such as acidic polysaccharides containing arabinogalactan, glycoproteins, phosphorylated β -galactan, as well as chitinlike⁶⁶ and other glycan-rich cell walls.^{64,67}

With surprisingly high resolution that is even sufficient to resolve ${}^{13}C^{-13}C$ J-couplings in-cell, we assigned the signals of 7 monosaccharide types and resolved 58 of their subforms (with 37 assigned, 17 tentatively assigned, and 4 unknown spin systems). We further examined the composition, dynamical characteristics, and spatial organization of these assigned glycans. Starch was identified as the major rigid and predominantly abundant molecule in Parachlorella CK-5. The starch grain of this strain appears to exist in a well-organized form as revealed by the strong inter-residue and intermolecular interactions between different glucose conformers and between the major starch domains and associated segments. Some xyloses were distributed in both rigid and mobile domains, and their chemical shifts suggest a conformation similar to the flatribbon 2-fold xylan (two sugars per helical turn) identified in plants.^{36,72} Most other carbohydrate components were found to be dynamic, not only in glycolipids but also in the cell walls. As Chlorella and Chlorella-like algae are important model microorganisms carrying a high amount of proteins and carbohydrates, an in-depth understanding of their glycan architecture will shed light on the structural mechanisms of their resistance to microbial and chemical degradation,⁷³ which are important parameters to consider in biopolymers production. The approaches established here could be applied to many algal strains with a variable content of cellulose, where the cell wall network hinders the efficient access and extraction of molecules for the production of biofuels, biopolymers, bioplastics, antioxidants, and decontaminants.⁷

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Microalgal Sample. Freeze-dried uniformly ¹³C-labeled *P. beijerinckii CK-5* (SAG 2046) microalgae were prepared by Iso-Life (Wageningen, The Netherlands) using confidential autotrophic growth conditions. The algal strain *Parachlorella beijerinckii* (or *beyerinckii*) *CK-5* was developed for industrial purposes and associated with the *Chlorella* genus, and named Chikugo (*CK*) after the district of the Fukuoka prefecture in Japan. The strain has been later identified and classified based on the sequences of its 18S ribosomal ribonucleic acid gene (AY323841⁵²), internal transcribed spacer (ITS FM205845⁷⁷ and ITS-2 AY323476⁵²), and other morphological characteristics.⁵² About 30 mg of dried cells were rehydrated with 70 μ L of nanopure water, and the whole-cell material was packed into a 3.2 mm MAS rotor for ssNMR characterization. To evaluate the effect of freeze-drying on microalgal samples, we compared fresh and hydrated *C. reinhardtii* cells to a lyophilized and rehydrated sample

(Figure S1). There is no significant perturbation of the glycan composition, and the dynamics of most microalgal molecules was efficiently retained. This is consistent with the recent observation that freeze-drying and rehydration have negligible effect on the carbohydrate structure and dynamics in the model plant *Arabidopsis*,⁷⁸ or on *Escherichia coli* bacterial membranes.⁷⁹

Glycosyl Composition and Linkage Analysis by Mass Spectrometry. Glycosyl composition analysis of neutral sugars was achieved after an acetone extraction on dried cells, removing most pigments in the sample. The dried sample was then dispersed in 0.5 mL of 2 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in a sealed reaction tube. After 20 min of sonication in an ultrasound water bath at room temperature, hydrolysis was performed at 121 °C for 2 h, followed by overnight reduction with NaBD₄, and 1 h acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine (1:1, v/v) at 80 °C.

GC-MS analyses were performed with an HP-5890 GC interfaced to a mass-selective detector 5970 MSD using a SupelcoSP2330 capillary column (30×0.25 mm ID, Supelco) with the following temperature program: $60 \,^{\circ}$ C for 1 min, then ramp to 170 $^{\circ}$ C at 27.5 $^{\circ}$ C/min, and to 235 $^{\circ}$ C at 4 $^{\circ}$ C/min, with 2 min hold, and finally to 240 $^{\circ}$ C at 3 $^{\circ}$ C/min with 12 min hold. Inositol was used as an internal standard. Glycosyl constituents were assigned, based on the GC-MS retention time of the sugar standards derivatives, and on electron ionization MS (EI-MS) fragments of ¹³C-labeled alditol acetate derivatives. Results of this quantitative GC-MS glycan composition are shown in Table S1, and the interpretation of the EI-MS fragmentation is given in Figure S2.

The glycosyl linkages of uniformly ¹³C-labeled polysaccharides were obtained by GC-MS of partially methylated alditol acetates (PMAA)⁸⁰ after 2 h of hydrolysis with 2 M (v/v) TFA at 121 °C, overnight reduction with NaBD₄ and acetylation with acetic anhydride and pyridine. Inositol was used as an internal standard. Detected glycan linkages are given in Table S2. The examples of GC-EI-MS analysis of ¹³C-labeled PMAAs molecules and the interpretations of EI-MS fragmentation have been recently demonstrated on fungal cell-wall carbohydrates.³⁷

Solid-State NMR Experiments. All experiments were conducted at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (Tallahassee, FL, USA) on an 800 MHz (18.8 T) Bruker Avance III HD NMR spectrometer using a high-efficiency 3.2 mm HCN probe under 13.5 kHz MAS at 27 °C. ¹³C chemical shifts were externally referenced to adamantane's CH₂ signal set to 38.48 ppm.⁸¹ The typical radio-frequency (RF) field strength was 83 kHz for ¹H decoupling, using the two-pulse phase-modulated (TPPM) scheme, with 5.7 μ s for each pulse. The RF field strength was 83 kHz for ¹H hard pulses, 62.5 kHz for ¹³C hard pulses, and 50 kHz for both ¹H and ¹³C cross-polarization (CP) spin lock. The key acquisition parameters of all 1D and 2D experiments are summarized in Table S3. Furthermore, all the assigned peaks will be deposited to the Complex Carbohydrate Magnetic Resonance Database (CCMRD),⁸² and the Bruker Topspin data set is freely available upon request.

To probe polysaccharides with different dynamics, four 1D ¹³C spectra were acquired using different schemes for creating initial magnetization. ¹³C direct polarization (DP) spectra were acquired using either a 30 s or a 2 s recycle delay for quantitative detection of all molecules or selective highlight of mobile molecules, respectively. For rigid molecules, CP was used with a 1 ms contact time. Refocused Insensitive Nuclei Enhanced by Polarization Transfer (INEPT) experiments with two delays of 1.72 ms followed by two delays of 1.15 ms were employed to probe mobile species.

To assign glycan signals, 2D 13 C DP refocused J-INAD-EQUATE^{85,84} spectrum was collected using a recycle delay of 2 s. 13 C CP refocused J-INADEQUATE was also employed to detect rigid molecules, using the CP parameters described above for 1D analogs. INADEQUATE acquisition and processing parameters have been described before, 84,85 with each delay during the polarization transfer set to 2.4 ms. To directly compare INADEQUATE—a double quantum (DQ) correlation experiment—with the single quantum (SQ) spectra described below, the INADEQUATE spectrum was sheared 86 using the "ptilt1" command available on Bruker TopSpin

Journal of the American Chemical Society

pubs.acs.org/JACS

Article



Figure 2. Dynamic heterogeneity of microalgal molecules revealed by 1D 13 C ssNMR spectra. The *J*-coupling-based refocused INEPT spectrum (magenta) only shows signals of highly mobile molecules. 13 C DP spectra with a long recycle delay (30 s; black) enable quantitative detection while a short recycle delay (2 s, orange) detects mobile components. Arrows and stars are respectively used to highlight the carbon 1 sites of rigid starch and C1 of mobile carbohydrates. The difference spectrum (light blue) of the two DP parental spectra is highly similar to the CP spectrum (blue), selecting rigid molecules. All spectra were collected using intact *P. beijerinckii* cells on an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer, with 13.5 kHz MAS frequency and 83 kHz TPPM 1 H decoupling.

software. The resonance assignments of 37 carbohydrates subforms are displayed in Table S4, and compared with literature values in Table S5. The tentative assignments of 17 carbohydrates subforms as well as 4 unassigned forms are listed separately in Table S6 to avoid confusion.

To probe internuclear correlations with a progressively increasing distance range, three types of 2D SQ $^{13}C-^{13}C$ correlation experiments were conducted, including RF-Driven Recoupling (RFDR) with a short recoupling time of 1.5 ms that mainly selects one-bond cross peaks, 53 ms COmbined R2^v_n-Driven recoupling (CORD)^{87,88} that reports intraresidue correlations, and 14 ms Proton Assisted Recoupling (PAR)⁸⁹ that permits the identification of long-range inter-residue and intermolecular cross peaks. The ¹³C and ¹H RF field strengths of the PAR mixing were set to 53 and 50 kHz, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Screening the Dynamical Distribution of Biomolecules in Intact Algal Cells. The sample analyzed by MAS ssNMR was uniformly ¹³C-labeled and hydrated *P. beijerinckii* cells, free of chemical treatment and extraction. The distribution of microalgal biomolecules in different dynamical regimes was rapidly assessed using a series of 1D ¹³C spectra (6–30 min each). The most mobile molecules or structural motifs were selected via a *J*-coupling-based INEPT experiment (Figure 2). The concerted motions of wobbling and uniaxial rotation in lipids allowed their signals to be detected, such as the acyl chain CH₂ peak at 30.1 ppm, methyl signal at 14.6 ppm, and the olefin carbons in unsaturated lipids (128 to 130 ppm). Carbohydrate signals were also observed, such as C₁ of xylose and galactose (ca. 104 ppm), indicative of their presence in a largely solvated state. A ¹³C DP spectrum using a sufficiently long (30 s) recycle delay allowed the quantitative detection of all carbon sites in the algal cells. Signals from rigid components, for example, the three C1 peaks of glucose in starch (99, 100, and 101 ppm assigned as S1^a, S1^b, and S1^c, respectively),90 are sequentially increased from the INEPT to the two DP spectra collected with 2 and 30 s recycle delays. This is not surprising since the use of a short recycling time suppresses the intensities of rigid molecules due to their slow ¹³C-T₁ longitudinal relaxation, while a sufficiently long recycle delay allows re-equilibration of the magnetization and quantitative detection of all carbons. Although both INEPT and 2 s DP preferentially highlight mobile molecules, the proton-to-carbon polarization transfer pathway of INEPT made it fail to detect nonprotonated carbons, such as the protein/lipid carbonyl groups, which showed to be mobile from their presence in the 2 s DP spectrum.

Rigid components can be selectively observed using either a difference spectrum generated by subtracting the 2 s DP spectrum from the 30 s DP spectrum, or through a proton-to-carbon CP experiment. The definition of rigid is different in these two methods, with the former applying a relaxation (¹³C- T_1) filter and the latter based on dipolar-coupling mediation. This explains the discrepancy in the protein and lipid regions when comparing the two spectra. A consistent observation is the dominance of starch peaks, thus establishing this



Figure 3. Complexity of carbohydrates structures resolved with 2D correlation spectra. (a) 13 C refocused DP-based J-INADEQUATE spectrum of rehydrated uniformly 13 C-labeled *P. beijerinckii* hydrated cells with a 2 s recycle delay selecting the mobile moieties of polysaccharides. The spectrum was acquired on an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer under 13.5 kHz MAS using 83 kHz TPPM 1 H decoupling. Each echo delay was set to 2.4 ms. Abbreviations are used for glycan resonance assignments and color-coding is primarily based on the symbol nomenclature for glycans (SNFG).⁹¹ The XZ^Y notation is used where X is the type of sugar, Y is the spin system number (for example, Ga¹, Ga², and Ga³ for 3 galactose units), and Z is the carbon number (for example, Ga6¹ is the carbon 6 of galactose 1 unit). Insets show the unique signals of arabinose carbon 1 and rhamnose carbon 6. (b) Representative structures of carbohydrate units with connectivity identified by mass spectrometry. The number in purple indicated for each unit corresponds to the relative EI detector response (percentage) obtained by GC-MS, which is a semiquantitative reporter as provided in Table S2.

biopolymer as the major rigid carbohydrate in *P. beijerinckii CK5*. Therefore, the 1D approach allowed us to either quantitatively detect all molecules in a cell or select components according to their dynamical characteristics.^{45,46} Such versatility enables the design of 2D ssNMR experiments and analysis methods to assign and quantify the structurally complex glycans.

P. beijerinckii is particularly rich in carbohydrates, as shown by the comparison with two cellulose-producing species (such as *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Pavlova lutheri*) and the glycoprotein-rich *C. reinhardtii* (Figure S3a,b). A partial similarity in carbohydrate structures can also be noticed between *P. beijerinckii* and *C. reinhardtii* (Figure S3c). It is of significant interest to investigate the similarities and differences in the compositions and structures of carbohydrates found in cellulose-rich and cellulose-free algal strains.

Resonance Assignment of Glycans. To fully assign the glycan signals, $2D^{13}C^{-13}C$ correlation spectra were acquired using a high-field NMR spectrometer (800 MHz). We preferred the refocused *J*-INADEQUATE sequence since it further improves spectral dispersion by the introduction of DQ

dimension and the absence of an often-crowded diagonal. The refocused DP-J-INADEQUATE spectrum of P. beijerinckii is shown in Figure 3a with all the spin systems labeled and compared to the glycan connectivities identified by glycosyl composition and linkage analysis (Figure 3b). The assignment of more than 35 spin systems (Tables S4 and S5) was facilitated by comparison with the glycan chemical shifts indexed in the Complex Carbohydrate Magnetic Resonance Database.⁸² Arabinose signals were readily assigned due to the C_1 chemical shift close to 110 ppm (A1¹, A1², A1³, and A1⁴), as well as rhamnose which C_5 signal appears at ca. 20 ppm $(R5^x$, with x from 1 to 8) (Figure 3a, insets). Galactose, on the other hand, was identified by comparison with resonance patterns (C_1 to C_5 ring in galactofuranose or C_6 in galactopyranose) determined on simpler model compounds. Glucose in starch was easily assigned as it is predominant in this sample, and by comparing its signals to those reported in other cells.⁹⁰ Since 1D results defined starch as the major rigid molecule in this cell (Figure 2), its assignment was also confirmed with a CP-based refocused J-INADEQUATE spectrum (Figure S4).



Figure 4. Carbohydrate composition estimated from ssNMR and MS analyses of *P. beijerinckii* rehydrated cells. (a) Integration regions (green rectangles) of the 2D refocused DP-INADEQUATE spectrum used for glycan quantification (see Figure 3 for key experimental details and assignment). (b) Comparison of rehydrated *P. beijerinckii* CK-5 glycan composition derived from the 2D spectrum in panel (a) showing significant discrepancy with MS results. Data are summarized in Table S7. The difference is caused by the suppression of starch signals. (c) Good correlation between MS results and the recalibrated ssNMR quantification after correcting for the starch content. A correction factor of 3.5 obtained using 1D spectra (Figure S8) was applied on the starch fraction. (d) Cross sections extracted from the 2D refocused DP-INADEQUATE spectrum showing splittings for starch and most glycans. This observation is associated with ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ couplings. The starch slices shown here were deconvoluted (blue), with a good match between the simulated (magenta) and measured (black) spectra. Carbon 1 and terminal 5/6 each showed a single peak while other central carbons showed pairs of peaks.

The assignment of the remaining glycans proved to be much more arduous due to the structural complexity and overlapping of polysaccharides. Nevertheless, we could identify the six principal monosaccharide units detected by MS approaches, i.e., arabinose, galactose, glucose, mannose, rhamnose and xylose, in 4, 3, 11, 3, 8, and 6 environments, respectively (Figure 3 and Table S4). Representative spin correlations pathways of these molecules are shown in Figure S5. These glycans are mainly associated with starch metabolism but are also found in galactolipids located in the chloroplast membranes, and glycoproteins or polysaccharides from the cell wall. Dovetailing with the 1D results, both refocused DP and CP-INADEQUATE spectra reveal three sets of chemical shifts for the anomeric carbon of starch from P. beijerinckii cells (Figures 3 and S4), consistent with A-type starch (Figure 1b), as observed in other algae such as C. reinhardtii.⁹⁰ The high

spectral resolution in this work allows the complete description of all the spin systems in A-type starch in cells.

Although beyond the scope of this work, amino acids in whole microalgae were also detected using the refocused DP-INADEQUATE pulse sequence. They appear to be very mobile as they were almost undetectable with the CP-INADEQUATE experiment (Figure S6). This suggests that individual membrane proteins and proteins involved in the cell-wall network are either dynamic or of low abundance.

Quantification of Glycans in Whole Cells. To quantify glycans in whole *P. beijerinckii* cells, we relied on the refocused INADEQUATE experiment (Figure 3) due to its exceptional resolution, although it comes with some limitations. Notably, variations in intensity can be expected for carbons involved in different homonuclear *J*-couplings as this experiment exploits ${}^{13}C{}^{-13}C$ *J*-couplings. Transverse (T₂) relaxation during the

refocused INADEQUATE blocks can vary among different carbon sites^{84,92,93} and affect the intensity of the ¹³C peaks used for quantification. Since T_2 can be a function of molecular motions, systems with heterogeneous dynamics require careful analysis. Nevertheless, this quantification approach can be applied to quantify molecules in samples with homogeneous dynamics and ¹³C- T_1 relaxation, providing that the few molecules with different dynamics are calibrated using 1D experiments, which is assumed to be the case with whole *P. beijerinckii* cells. Despite these challenges, glycan quantification can be performed by using a single peak in a given system of correlated peaks (which corresponds to a single glycan) or by averaging the intensities of all correlated peaks. We favored the latter solution to maximize precision and compensate for potential excitation inhomogeneity.

Although ssNMR quantification of carbohydrates has been reported in plant materials using the deconvolution of 1D quantitative ¹³C spectra,⁴⁸ the use of 2D methods, as reported here, is a first to our knowledge. Multidimensional experiments allow the assignment of previously unresolved glycans resonances on 1D spectra, but quantification with 2D spectra remains challenging due to the low chemical shift dispersion of polysaccharides signals. Moreover, accurate quantification can be hindered by the coexistence of both pentoses and hexoses that contribute differently to the spectral intensity because of their different carbon numbers.

We first considered simple cases that showed no spectral overlap and integrated all the peaks in a system of correlated peaks (Figure 4a). In the 2D refocused INADEQUATE spectrum, pairs of peaks appear horizontally at the DQ frequency corresponding to the sum of the chemical shifts of the correlated spins. A five-membered ring, for example, will yield the following four pairs of peaks at the various δ_{Cn} chemical shifts: $(\delta_{C1}, \delta_{C2}), (\delta_{C2}, \delta_{C3}), (\delta_{C3}, \delta_{C4})$, and $(\delta_{C4}, \delta_{C5})$. The carbons on the extremities of the glycan (C1 and C5) therefore contribute less to the overall intensity than the remaining carbons. This was rectified by normalizing the sum of integrals by the number of peaks in this system, which also corrected for the different contributions of hexoses and pentoses. The relative abundance of a specific glycan (RA^{glycY}) was therefore calculated as follows:

$$RA^{glyc^{Y}}(\%) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{n_{peaks}^{glyc^{Y}}} I_{n}^{glyc^{Y}} / n_{peaks}^{glyc^{Y}}}{\sum_{glyc^{Y}}^{Nglyc^{Y}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{n_{peaks}^{glyc^{Y}}} I_{n}^{glyc^{Y}} / n_{peaks}^{glyc^{Y}} \right)} \times 100$$
(1)

with $n_{\text{peaks}}^{glyc^Y}$ denoting the number of peaks assigned to a specific glycan $glyc^Y$ (ex: 6 peaks with no overlap for Gal¹) and $Nglyc^Y$ representing the total number of different glycans Y (Ara¹ + Ara² + ... + Gal¹ + ... + Glc¹ + ... + Xyl¹ + ...). For numerical results, see Table S7, Method 1.

Without spectral overlap, the carbon quantification was easily performed by integrating the corresponding correlation peak volumes. This strategy also enabled the quantification of specific glycans, even distinguishing various glycans of the same nature (ex: Glc¹ from Glc²), as shown in Table S8 where nonoverlapping peaks appear in black. As whole cells comprise multiple complex glycans, there was a significant peak overlap on the spectrum that required another approach. Two types of overlapping peaks could be distinguished: those from glycans of the same nature (Gal¹ and Gal² for example) and those from different types of glycans (Gal¹ and Glc¹ for example). Overlapping peaks are shown in Table S8, highlighted in orange or red if they come from the same type or different types of glycans, respectively.

With overlapping peaks, the precise relative contribution of each glycan to the peak volume cannot be determined, and overlapping peaks originating from different glycans cannot be easily exploited for quantification purposes. However, in the case of overlapping peaks of the same glycan, the total volume can provide the relative abundance of this sugar with respect to other types of glycans. To do so, eq 1 can be used with integrals of each resonance divided by the number of carbons it contains, allowing the consideration of a large number of assigned peaks. Indeed, even if the relative contribution to the integral of each of the overlapping carbons is unclear, the number of carbon resonances and spin system number from the same glycans that are overlapping is known. This leads to the following equation:

$$RA^{glyc}(\%) = \frac{n_{glyc} \left(\sum_{Y=1}^{n_{glyc}} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{peaks}} (I_n^{glyc^Y} / (1 + n_{overlap})) / (n_{peaks}) \right)}{\sum_{glyc} \left[n_{glyc} \left(\sum_{Y=1}^{n_{glyc}} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{peaks}} (I_n^{glyc^Y} / (1 + n_{overlap})) / (n_{peaks}) \right) \right]} \times 100$$
(2)

where glyc is the glycan type (Ara, Rha, Man, ...), n_{glyc} is the number of spin systems assigned for a specific glycan type glyc (ex: 3 galactose spin systems for Gal¹, Gal² and Gal³), n_{peaks} represents the number of unique peaks assigned to a specific glycan type $glyc^{Y}$ (for example, 17 carbons assigned in galactose that do not overlap with different glycan type), n_{overlap} indicates the total number of carbons that overlap for a given integral $I_n^{glyc^{\gamma}}$ (for example, $n_{overlap}$ is 0 for galactose), and $\sum glyc$ is the sum over the different glycan types (Ara; Gal; ...; Xyl).94,95 Considering the number of accounted carbon and spin system numbers is important for preventing underestimation of glycan types with many different spin systems but with low integral per spin system (see Table S7, Method 2). The overall flowcharts for these two methods used for glycan quantification are summarized in Figure S7. It should be noted that most previous ssNMR quantification methods rely on spectral deconvolution,^{48,96} and the current method to obtain averaged intensity has rarely been employed.

As demonstrated with the 1D spectra, the quantification of ¹³C signals in whole-cell glycans requires a long recycle delay of 30 s, which results in multiple days to record each 2D spectrum. Therefore, we opted for a recycle delay of 2 s, at the expense of selectively reducing the signal of rigid moieties. As expected, glucose signals in crystalline starch were affected by the short recycle delay (see Figure 2). Starch resonances obtained with the 1D spectra with short (2 s) and long (30 s)recycling delays were therefore used to obtain a correction factor of 3.5 (Figure S8) that was then applied to their intensities in the 2D spectrum. After this recalibration, glycans in P. beijerinckii CK-5 whole cells could thus be quantified with a recycle delay of 2 s and compared to the more accurate MS quantification, as outlined in Figure 4b,c. Overall, calibration of the ssNMR data provided a better match with the MS results, as compared to uncalibrated intensities. Taking the galactose composition as an example, a good agreement is found between the MS (8.2%) and calibrated ssNMR data (8.5%), which is a significant improvement over the uncalibrated



Figure 5. $2D^{-13}C^{-13}C$ correlation spectra for identifying intra- and inter-residue contacts in rehydrated *P. beijerinckii* cells. Sheared DP-INADEQUATE spectrum (gray) was overlapped with different CP-based spectra (RFDR, CORD, and PAR) that detect rigid molecules. (a) RFDR experiment with a short mixing time of 1.5 ms for selective detection of one-bond correlations. (b) 53 ms CORD detecting most of the intramolecular cross peaks. (c) 14 ms PAR showing long-range intra- and intermolecular cross peaks. (d) Subtraction of the CORD spectrum from the PAR spectrum resolving intermolecular contacts between starch and cell wall components. A scaling factor of 0.4 was applied to the CORD spectrum to cleanly remove all intramolecular cross peaks. All the CP-based spectra are dominated by starch, together with some of the galactose, xylose, and mannose units. One-bond correlations (dark purple), multibond intramolecular cross peaks (light purple), and inter-residue or intermolecular contacts (magenta) are marked and color-coded. (e) Numbers of peaks observed in different 2D spectra. The signals involved in one-bond correlations and their sugar types are shown for refocused DP- and CP-INADEQUATE, and RFDR spectra (top left). One-bond correlation peaks, multibond intramolecular cross peaks, and inter-residue or intermolecular contacts are shown for CORD, PAR, and their difference spectrum. All spectra were acquired with an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer under 13.5 kHz MAS frequency using 83 kHz TPPM ¹H decoupling.

method (17.8%) that corresponds to a 117% relative error. For the least abundant arabinose residues, a proportion of 0.8% was obtained by MS analysis, 1.3% with the calibrated ssNMR method, and 2.8% with the uncalibrated method, corresponding to a 250% relative error (Table S7).

The quantification of glycans performed here on *P. beijer-inckii* cells by ssNMR appears as a reliable approach providing the application of appropriate controls. 1D spectra can indicate whether intensity calibration is needed for specific resonances to compensate for the polarization transfer inhomogeneity in the refocused INADEQUATE experiment. A crystalline polysaccharide such as starch will likely not be present in many types of cells and, in a more structurally and dynamically homogeneous sample, correction factors might not be required. Nevertheless, cross validation with MS is advisable. An interesting alternative might be the use of relaxation agents, which could reduce and homogenize longitudinal relaxation throughout the sample.⁹⁷

Observation of ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ **Couplings in the Cell.** The spectral resolution allowed observing one-bond scalar couplings (${}^{1}J_{CC}$)

for some carbon sites.⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰ Such lineshapes have been observed in proteins for a long time, and the effects of rotational-resonance and coherent cross-correlation under slow MAS frequencies have been discussed in detail.^{84,101,102} To our knowledge, these scalar couplings, which helped carbon assignment, have not been reported in any whole-cell systems, although similar splittings were observed for the most dynamic arabinose units in an Arabidopsis cell wall sample.¹⁰³ Parachlorella might benefit from narrower ¹³C line widths and better-resolved J-couplings compared to more rigid systems. Most glycan C2-to-C4/5 correlation peaks exhibit Jsplittings with values between 50 and 80 Hz (Table S5, Figure 4d and S9), which are slightly higher than ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ couplings measured for oligosaccharides in solution NMR.^{104–106} These larger ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ values determined in solids might result from the distribution in dihedral angles in such an inhomogeneous system and a combined effect from several J-couplings. Heteronuclear ¹H-¹³C J-couplings are used in solution NMR for the structural determination of glycans. Emerging work is also being carried out to understand the relationship



Figure 6. 2D ${}^{13}\text{C}-{}^{13}\text{C}$ correlation spectra spotlighting inter-residue and intermolecular contacts. (a) 14 ms PAR spectrum with long-range intraand intermolecular cross peaks labeled. (b) Difference spectrum obtained from two parental spectra (PAR and CORD) detecting only intermolecular or inter-residue contacts. (c) Representation of identified inter-residue contacts, mainly from xylose (top), starch (middle), and galactose units (bottom). Details of the observed cross peaks are listed in Table S9. All spectra were acquired with an 800 MHz spectrometer using 13.5 kHz MAS frequency, with 83 kHz TPPM for ${}^{1}\text{H}$ decoupling.

between the homonuclear ${}^{13}C_{-}{}^{13}C_{-}$ *J*-couplings and the structure of glycans in solution 104,105 and solids. 107 These couplings helped to alleviate the assignment ambiguities in our sample. Peak splitting is not observed for the terminal sites (such as C_1 and C_6 in glucose) that only have a single neighboring carbon but observed for all remaining sites (such as C_2-C_5 in glucose) that have two neighboring carbons. This unexpected pattern of splitting is not yet understood and requires further assessment.

Spatial Proximity of Rigid Carbohydrates. The spatial association of glycans was examined using three different 2D ¹³C⁻¹³C correlation experiments probing progressively shorter internuclear distances (Figure 5). With a focus on rigid molecules via CP, one-bond correlations were selectively detected using a 1.5 ms RFDR experiment (Figure 5a) while all intramolecular correlations (including one-bond and multibond cross peaks) were observed using a 53 ms CORD spectrum (Figure 5b). The 2D PAR experiment was designed to determine long-range (~ca. 5 to 10 Å) and intermolecular or inter-residue contacts,^{89,108,109} and, in the case of *Para*chlorella, showed mostly starch in addition to a few xylan correlations (Figure 5c and Table S9). Indeed, the PAR experiment has been extensively used in the structural determination of proteins and amyloid fibrils^{89,108-112} and was recently applied to cell wall materials.³⁷ In addition, the difference of the PAR and CORD spectra (generated by spectral subtraction) allowed the unambiguous observation of intermolecular cross peaks (Figure 5d),¹¹³ and even detect contacts that were not visible in the PAR spectrum due to spectral crowding. Each of these three CP-based through-space 2D spectra was superimposed on a sheared DP refocused J-INADEQUATE spectrum,⁸⁶ for which the resonance assignment is available (Table S9).

The spatial proximities examined by a total of 594 cross peaks observed in this complete set of 2D spectra are summarized in Figure 5e and Table S9. Most algal carbohydrates are mobile since CP-based refocused INAD-EQUATE only reports 39% of the signals identified in the DP version. Analysis of the intramolecular cross peaks on the CPbased 2D spectra mostly allowed the identification of storage carbohydrates (associated with starch), together with a few other sugar units including xylose (Xyl or X), galactose (Gal or Ga), rhamnose (Rha or R), mannose (Man or M), and glucose (Glc or G) (Figure 5e and Table S9), indicating their partial involvement in the rigid phase. However, for galactose-the second most abundant carbohydrate after glucose-only one of the three types of galactoses stays partially rigid (unit 1), but this form accounts for 45% of all galactose signals (Table S8 and S9). The other units are much more mobile and most likely associated with galactolipids that are highly abundant in plastid membranes. Most other glycans are mobile, 20% of which are oligosaccharides or nonreducing end groups, identified mostly from their characteristic C1 resonances (92-99 ppm). The major findings will be discussed below according to the molecular types, starting with starch that behaves differently from other constituents of the microalgae, followed by cell-wall polysaccharides, and galactolipids.

Distribution and Architecture of Cellular Carbohydrates. *Crystalline Starch.* The rigidity of starch might be ascribed to its crystallinity in *Parachlorella*'s reserve grain. Indeed, the PAR spectrum and the difference of two parental CORD and PAR spectra revealed strong inter-residue cross peaks within starch (Figure 6a,b), which confirmed the association of different glucose residues in this polymer. Such interactions happen between the anomeric carbons at 101 ppm (carbon 1 of starch unit a; S1^a), 100 ppm (carbon 1 of starch unit b, S1^b), and 99 ppm (carbon 1 of starch unit c;



Figure 7. Comparison of algal xylose with plant xylan. (a) Overlay of refocused INADEQUATE spectra measured with CP (orange) and DP (cyan) on *P. beijerinckii* whole cells, with an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer under 13.5 kHz MAS, with 83 kHz TPPM decoupling. Subtypes 4, 5, and 6 of *P. beijerinckii* xyloses show signals in both CP- and DP-based spectra, revealing their distribution in both rigid and mobile domains. (b) The signals of these algal xyloses are more similar to the 2-fold xylan (Xn^{2f}) observed in maize (gray) than to the 3-fold conformer (Xn^{3f}) reported recently.³⁶ The reference spectrum of maize xylan was acquired with a 600 MHz spectrometer using a 14 kHz MAS frequency with 83 kHz TPPM decoupling. This maize spectrum was adapted with permission from Kang et al.³⁶ Copyright (2019) Nature (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

 $S1^{c}$) and several other carbons in other starch chains including starch-associated molecules (such as unit 1 of starch associated molecules; Sa^{1}) and end-groups (such as unit 1 of nonreducing starch end-group, Se^{1}) as summarized in Figure 6c and Table S9. The contacts between starch-associated molecules (small starch polymers or soluble fractions of starch) and the main spin systems of starch, as represented by the $S1^{b}-Sa2^{1}$, $S5^{a}-Sa2^{1}$, and $S3^{b}-Sa6^{1}$ cross peaks at 100.2–75.0, 75.0–70.5 and 74.0–61.4 ppm, respectively, revealed the close spatial proximity of these fractionated segments with the major starch domains. Contacts between end-groups and the crystalline part of starch, for example, the 99–73.5 ppm cross peak representing the $S1^{c}-Se5^{1}$ contact, confirmed a stable and dense organization.

The intensity of the starch-associated molecule with a C_1 at 96.6 ppm is higher when using INEPT polarization transfer, as opposed to other starch-associated molecules previously assigned. This carbon could be associated with a smaller polymer decorating the surface of starch grains. Indeed, this spin system was partially assigned before in whole-cell samples using starchless strains but was absent in extracted starch.⁹⁰ This can be a consequence of the starch extraction protocol that involves a centrifugation step selecting large or insoluble objects. This mobile starch-associated component is probably under 0.5 μm ,¹¹⁴ which is the average size of extracted starch.

Dynamically Heterogeneous Polysaccharides in Parachlorella Cell Wall. Rigid glycans not related to starch, including galactose, xylose, and mannose units, were detected with the CP-based refocused INADEQUATE, RFDR, CORD, and PAR spectra (Table S9), suggesting a partially ordered structure or environment for these carbohydrates. These glycan components are known to be important for cell–cell interactions in microalgae.⁶¹ Moreover, glycans that are involved in establishing the cell-wall structural integrity through stable interactions with other glycans and protein units are also likely to be rigid. This is the case for several glucomannans present in other algae and plant cell walls.⁹³ Indeed, according to their high C_1 chemical shifts, two of the three assigned galactoses are probably associated with polysaccharide units of glycoproteins in the network that constitutes the cell wall.

Mannose exhibits a binary distribution in both the rigid and mobile phases. As it is one of the carbohydrates reported in Chlorella cell walls¹¹⁵ and involved in its N-glycosylation,¹¹⁶ we could expect a similar glycosylation scheme in the closely related Parachlorella cell wall. Therefore, the rigid mannose residues observed in CP-based 2D spectra might be close to the protein portion of the cell wall. More dynamic mannose residues were also identified using 1D INEPT and 2D refocused DP-INADEQUATE spectra, resolving the nonreducing mannose with a very low C_1 chemical shift of 93 ppm (mannose unit 2). This observation suggests the presence of hvdrated mannose at the cell-wall surface. It supports previous studies where Chlorella cell wall was shown to be mostly made of glucose and mannose,¹¹⁷ thus stressing the importance of these carbohydrates for polysaccharide interaction in the cell wall or between different cells as mobile signaling agent.¹¹

We were also able to identify preferential contacts between different xylose units, in particular, between types 4 and 5 (Figure 6c), supporting their involvement in stabilized linkages within this polymer that might be decorating the cell surface. Among the six types of xylose identified in Parachlorella, three forms (types 4-6) were simultaneously detected in both CPand DP-based spectra (Figure 7a), revealing their heterogeneous dynamics, with coexisting rigid and mobile domains. Previously, algal xylose has been reported in other polysaccharide assemblies, for example, those involving xylan in 2and 3-fold conformations (2 or 3 sugar units per helical turn),¹¹⁹ which were also found in plant cell walls.⁷² As a sensitive indicator of helical screw conformations, the C4 chemical shifts (80-84 ppm) of types 4-6 xyloses indicate that their structures are more like the 2-fold form (Figure 7b). In plants, the flat-ribbon structure of 2-fold xylan was typically considered as being enforced by the deposition of this

Journal of the American Chemical Society

pubs.acs.org/JACS

hemicellulose on a flat surface (for example, cellulose microfibrils) and might be reverted to the more favorable 3-fold conformation in the absence of cellulose.⁷² Therefore, the observation of 2-fold-like xylose residues in the cellulose-deficient *Parachlorella CK-5* strain is counterintuitive. Since xyloses are mostly found in the algal cell walls and rarely in the cell, these units likely decorate the surface layers, with other molecules or mechanisms involved as a scaffold to stabilize this extended conformation.

Mobile Galactolipids and Other Glycan Units. Galactolipids have only one or two residues attached together, for MGDG/SQDG and DGDG, respectively (Figure 1c). They can be very abundant in photosynthetic organisms. For example, Chlorella nitrogen starvation can lead neutral lipids to reach 80% of the dry mass of the cell.¹²⁰ One of the three assigned galactoses is mobile (galactose 2, Table S9) and has a uniquely small (upfield) C1 chemical shift at 98.4 ppm (galactose unit 2) revealed by the INEPT spectrum (Figure 2), indicative of its presence in mono- or oligosaccharides or nonreducing end groups, which are mostly found in galactolipids. This observation is consistent with previous galactolipid assignment in microalgae using ssNMR.⁴⁵ Galactolipids are therefore readily detectable in whole cells, and peptide-membrane interaction studies in situ could be considered. Observation of rhamnose (21 ppm; unit 1, 2 and 3) and arabinose (108 ppm; units 1 and 2) in the INEPT spectrum also suggests their presence in oligosaccharides or end-groups decorating the surface of the cell wall or being involved in cell-cell interaction and signaling.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

Using *Parachlorella* microalgae, we showed that high-field ssNMR of ¹³C-labeled cells allows the detection, assignment, and quantification of glycans, and provides valuable structural and dynamic information in cells. With improved protocols, ssNMR quantification of glycan composition in intact cells becomes possible, although cross-validation with MS results is recommended. The most abundant carbohydrates in *Parachlorella* are glucose units from starch, followed by galactose from galactolipids, then the cell wall components. Most glycans in *Parachlorella beijerinckii CK-5* are highly dynamic, except for glucose in the crystalline starch and minor glycans probably associated with the cell wall.

Parachlorella species are frequently misnamed Chlorella, because these two algal taxons are very similar and mainly distinguished by fine genome differences. The high molecular mobility and the lack of cellulose in the current Parachlorella strain might explain, at least partially, why this microalga is easier to break down and digest than certain Chlorella strains that have stiff fibrillar cellulosic cell walls.⁴¹ Our data suggest that the very high content of starch and mobile carbohydrates might be determinant, making this type of alga a potential template for the design of new strains for bioenergy production, in addition to its potential use in bioremediation or as a food supplement already under investigation.¹²¹ Both noncellulosic Chlorella strains (such as several Chlorella vulgaris strains¹²²) and cellulose-rich algal species (such as Chlorella sorokiniana strains¹²³) are therefore of high interest for further investigation. Multiple strains have been designed to overproduce cellulose with the consideration that even cellulosic bioethanol should be useful, for example, as demonstrated on plant biomass.¹²⁴ A better understanding of the interactions between cellulose and other cell wall components, and

between different cellulose molecules, might help identify the key structural factors that have been limiting the accessibility of inner molecules and hindering the efficient utilization of microalgal biomass. Actually, in the primary cell walls of plants, the lateral interactions between cellulose microfibrils were found to be determinant to mechanical properties as revealed by coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulation.¹²⁵ Since the structure of cellulose is known to differ in algae and higher plants, the structural function of cellulose in the organization of algal cell walls requires further assessment by comparing the cellulose-deficient strain with cellulose-rich species.

The difficulty in carbohydrate analysis necessitates the development of new software and methods, likely based on statistical analysis, to expedite glycan assignment according to the available chemical shifts, spin system, and potentially *J*-coupling patterns. Future work involving starchless or mutant strains removing certain carbohydrate and multinuclear labeling schemes could allow better identification of other glycans and their contacts with amino acids in glycoproteins. The approaches established here are extendable to a wide spectrum of microalgal species, such as *Chlamydomonas* and *Chlorella*, which have the potential of facilitating ongoing efforts in optimizing their use for biopharmaceutical, bioenergy, and nutraceutical industries.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.1c07429.

Comparison of fresh and rehydrated algal cells (Figure S1); EI-MS data of ¹³C labeled P. beijerinckii glycans (Figure S2); Comparison of ${}^{13}C - {}^{13}C$ spectra from P. beijerinckii and other algae (Figure S3); Comparison of CP and DP-based INADEQUATE spectra (Figure S4); Representative signals of algal carbohydrates (Figure S5); Protein signals (Figure S6); Flowchart for glycan quantification (Figure S7); Calibration factor of starch content (Figure S8); Peak splitting (Figure S9); Glycosyl composition analysis (Table S1); Glycosyl linkage analysis (Table S2); SsNMR experiments and parameters (Table S3); Observed ¹³C chemical shifts (Table S4); Comparison with literature chemical shifts (Table S5); Tentative assignments of ambiguous spin pairs (Table S6); Quantification methods (Table S7); Intensities for quantification (Table S8); Identified internuclear correlations (Table S9) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Isabelle Marcotte Department of Chemistry, University of Quebec at Montreal, Montreal H2X 2J6, Canada; orcid.org/0000-0001-7467-7119; Email: marcotte.isabelle@uqam.ca
- Tuo Wang Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1801-924X; Email: tuowang@ lsu.edu

Authors

Alexandre Poulhazan – Department of Chemistry, University of Quebec at Montreal, Montreal H2X 2J6, Canada; orcid.org/0000-0001-5217-5070

- Malitha C. Dickwella Widanage Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8435-2382
- Artur Muszyński Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-3497-9977
- Alexandre A. Arnold Department of Chemistry, University of Quebec at Montreal, Montreal H2X 2J6, Canada; orcid.org/0000-0001-9624-6416
- Dror E. Warschawski Laboratoire des Biomolécules, LBM, CNRS UMR 7203, Sorbonne Université, École Normale Supérieure, PSL University, 75005 Paris, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-4363-4965
- Parastoo Azadi Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-6166-9432

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.1c07429

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was primarily supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (Grant No. DE-SC0021210 to T.W.), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada (Grant RGPIN-2018-06200 to I.M.) and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (UMR 7203 to D.E.W.). A.P. would like to thank the Fonds de Recherche du Québec-Nature et Technologies (FRQNT), as well as the Quebec Network for Research on Protein Function, Engineering, and Applications (PROTEO) for the award of scholarships. The glycosyl composition and linkage analysis were supported in part by the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy Grant (DE-SC0015662) to CCRC at UGA. The National High Magnetic Field Laboratory is supported by National Science Foundation through NSF/DMR-1644779 and the State of Florida. I.M. is a member of PROTEO and Ressources Aquatiques Québec (RAQ) strategic clusters of the FRQNT.

ABBREVIATIONS

CORD, combined $R2^{\nu}_{n}$ -driven recoupling; CP, cross-polarization; DGDG, digalactosyldiacylglycerol; DP, direct polarization; DQ, double quantum; GC, gas chromatography; INADEQUATE, incredible natural abundance double quantum transfer experiment; INEPT, insensitive nuclei enhancement by polarization transfer; MAS, magic-angle spinning; MGDG, monogalactosyldiacylglycerol; MS, mass spectrometry; PAR, proton assisted recoupling; PMAA, partially methylated alditol acetates; RF, radio frequency; RFDR, radio frequency driven recoupling; SQ, single quantum; SQDG, sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol; ssNMR, solid-state NMR; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid.

REFERENCES

(1) Popper, Z. A.; Michel, G.; Hervé, C.; Domozych, D. S.; Willats, W. G.; Tuohy, M. G.; Kloareg, B.; Stengel, D. B. Evolution and diversity of plant cell walls: from algae to flowering plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2011**, *62*, 567–90.

(2) Piwowar, A.; Harasym, J. The Importance and Prospects of the Use of Algae in Agribusiness. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12* (14), 5669.

(3) Khan, M. I.; Shin, J. H.; Kim, J. D. The promising future of microalgae: current status, challenges, and optimization of a sustainable and renewable industry for biofuels, feed, and other products. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **2018**, *17* (1), 36.

(4) Mohsenpour, S. F.; Hennige, S.; Willoughby, N.; Adeloye, A.; Gutierrez, T. Integrating micro-algae into wastewater treatment: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *752*, 142168.

(5) Raheem, A.; Prinsen, P.; Vuppaladadiyam, A. K.; Zhao, M.; Luque, R. A review on sustainable microalgae based biofuel and bioenergy production: Recent developments. *J. Cleaner Prod.* **2018**, *181*, 42–59.

(6) Ganesan, R.; Manigandan, S.; Samuel, M. S.; Shanmuganathan, R.; Brindhadevi, K.; Lan Chi, N. T.; Duc, P. A.; Pugazhendhi, A. A review on prospective production of biofuel from microalgae. *Biotechnol* **2020**, *27*, No. e00509.

(7) Domozych, D.; Ciancia, M.; Fangel, J.; Mikkelsen, M.; Ulvskov, P.; Willats, W. The Cell Walls of Green Algae: A Journey through Evolution and Diversity. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2012**, *3* (82), 1–7.

(8) Ramli, R. N.; Lee, C. K.; Kassim, M. A. Extraction and Characterization of Starch from Microalgae and Comparison with Commercial Corn Starch. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *716*, 012012.

(9) Zhu, S.; Huang, W.; Xu, J.; Wang, Z.; Xu, J.; Yuan, Z. Metabolic changes of starch and lipid triggered by nitrogen starvation in the microalga *Chlorella zofingiensis*. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *152*, 292–8.

(10) Ho, S.-H.; Nakanishi, A.; Kato, Y.; Yamasaki, H.; Chang, J.-S.; Misawa, N.; Hirose, Y.; Minagawa, J.; Hasunuma, T.; Kondo, A. Dynamic metabolic profiling together with transcription analysis reveals salinity-induced starch-to-lipid biosynthesis in alga Chlamydomonas sp. JSC4. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 45471.

(11) Tran, Q.-G.; Cho, K.; Park, S.-B.; Kim, U.; Lee, Y. J.; Kim, H.-S. Impairment of starch biosynthesis results in elevated oxidative stress and autophagy activity in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 9856.

(12) Pancha, I.; Shima, H.; Higashitani, N.; Igarashi, K.; Higashitani, A.; Tanaka, K.; Imamura, S. Target of rapamycin-signaling modulates starch accumulation via glycogenin phosphorylation status in the unicellular red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. *Plant J.* **2019**, *97* (3), 485–499.

(13) Baudelet, P.-H.; Ricochon, G.; Linder, M.; Muniglia, L. A new insight into cell walls of Chlorophyta. *Algal Res.* **2017**, *25*, 333–371.

(14) Barboríková, J.; Šutovská, M.; Kazimierová, I.; Jošková, M.; Fraňová, S.; Kopecký, J.; Capek, P. Extracellular polysaccharide produced by *Chlorella vulgaris* - Chemical characterization and antiasthmatic profile. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2019**, *135*, 1–11.

(15) Maksimova, I. V.; Bratkovskaya, L. B.; Plekhanov, S. E. Extracellular Carbohydrates and Polysaccharides of the Alga *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* Chick S-39. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Biol.* **2004**, *31* (2), 175–181.

(16) Tetlow, I. J.; Bertoft, E. A Review of Starch Biosynthesis in Relation to the Building Block-Backbone Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21 (19), No. e7011.

(17) Popov, D.; Buléon, A.; Burghammer, M.; Chanzy, H.; Montesanti, N.; Putaux, J. L.; Potocki-Véronèse, G.; Riekel, C. Crystal Structure of A-amylose: A Revisit from Synchrotron Microdiffraction Analysis of Single Crystals. *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42* (4), 1167–1174.

(18) Imberty, A.; Perez, S. A revisit to the three-dimensional structure of B-type starch. *Biopolymers* **1988**, *27* (8), 1205–1221.

(19) Gardner, T.; Kearse, K. Modification of the T Cell Antigen Receptor (TCR) Complex by UDP-glucose:Glycoprotein Glucosyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. **1999**, 274, 14094–9.

(20) Jain, V. M.; Karibasappa, G. N.; Dodamani, A. S.; Mali, G. V. Estimating the carbohydrate content of various forms of tobacco by phenol-sulfuric acid method. *J. Educ Health Promot* **2017**, *6*, 90–91. (21) Tivey, T. R.; Parkinson, J. E.; Mandelare, P. E.; Adpressa, D. A.; Peng, W.; Dong, X.; Mechref, Y.; Weis, V. M.; Loesgen, S. N-linked surface glycan biosynthesis, composition, inhibition, and function in cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. *Microb. Ecol.* **2020**, *80*, 223–236.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(22) Mulloy, B.; Dell, A.; Stanley, P.; Prestegard, J. H. Structural Analysis of Glycans. In *Essentials of Glycobiology*, 3rd ed.; Varki, A., Cummings, R. D., Esko, J. D., Stanley, P., Hart, G. W., Aebi, M., Darvill, A. G., Kinoshita, T., Packer, N. H., Prestegard, J. H., Schnaar, R. L., Seeberger, P. H., Eds.; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 2015; pp 639–652.

(23) DuBois, M.; Gilles, K. A.; Hamilton, J. K.; Rebers, P. A.; Smith, F. Colorimetric Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. *Anal. Chem.* **1956**, *28* (3), 350–356.

(24) Sui, Z.; Gizaw, Y.; BeMiller, J. N. Extraction of polysaccharides from a species of *Chlorella*. *Carbohydr*. *Polym*. **2012**, 90 (1), 1–7.

(25) Akhter, M.; Dutta Majumdar, R.; Fortier-McGill, B.; Soong, R.; Liaghati-Mobarhan, Y.; Simpson, M.; Arhonditsis, G.; Schmidt, S.; Heumann, H.; Simpson, A. J. Identification of aquatically available carbon from algae through solution-state NMR of whole (13)Clabelled cells. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2016**, 408 (16), 4357–70.

(26) Yao, H.-Y.-Y.; Wang, J.-Q.; Yin, J.-Y.; Nie, S.-P.; Xie, M.-Y. A review of NMR analysis in polysaccharide structure and conformation: Progress, challenge and perspective. *Food Res. Int.* **2021**, *143*, 110290.

(27) Kaebisch, E.; Fuss, T. L.; Vandergrift, L. A.; Toews, K.; Habbel, P.; Cheng, L. L. Applications of high-resolution magic angle spinning MRS in biomedical studies I-cell line and animal models. *NMR Biomed.* **2017**, *30* (6), No. e3700.

(28) Warnet, X. L.; Arnold, A. A.; Marcotte, I.; Warschawski, D. E. In-Cell Solid-State NMR: An Emerging Technique for the Study of Biological Membranes. *Biophys. J.* **2015**, *109* (12), 2461–2466.

(29) Fu, R.; Wang, X.; Li, C.; Santiago-Miranda, A. N.; Pielak, G. J.; Tian, F. *In situ* structural characterization of a recombinant protein in native *Escherichia coli* membranes with solid-state magic-anglespinning NMR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133* (32), 12370–3.

(30) Renault, M.; Tommassen-van Boxtel, R.; Bos, M. P.; Post, J. A.; Tommassen, J.; Baldus, M. Cellular solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109* (13), 4863–4868.

(31) Reckel, S.; Lopez, J. J.; Löhr, F.; Glaubitz, C.; Dötsch, V. In-Cell Solid-State NMR as a Tool to Study Proteins in Large Complexes. *ChemBioChem* **2012**, *13*, 534–7.

(32) Booth, V.; Warschawski, D. E.; Santisteban, N. P.; Laadhari, M.; Marcotte, I. Recent progress on the application of ²H solid-state NMR to probe the interaction of antimicrobial peptides with intact bacteria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics* **2017**, *1865* (11), 1500–1511.

(33) Neville, L. F.; Shalit, I.; Warn, P. A.; Scheetz, M. H.; Sun, J.; Chosy, M. B.; Wender, P. A.; Cegelski, L.; Rendell, J. T. *In Vivo* Targeting of *Escherichia coli* with Vancomycin-Arginine. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2021**, 65 (4), e02416-20.

(34) Sakhrani, V. V.; Hilario, E.; Caulkins, B. G.; Hatcher-Skeers, M. E.; Fan, L.; Dunn, M. F.; Mueller, L. J. Backbone assignments and conformational dynamics in the *S. typhimurium* tryptophan synthase α -subunit from solution-state NMR. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2020**, 74 (6–7), 341–354.

(35) Duan, P.; Kaser, S. J.; Lyczakowski, J. J.; Phyo, P.; Tryfona, T.; Dupree, P.; Hong, M. Xylan Structure and Dynamics in Native Brachypodium Grass Cell Walls Investigated by Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6* (23), 15460–15471.

(36) Kang, X.; Kirui, A.; Dickwella Widanage, M. C.; Mentink-Vigier, F.; Cosgrove, D. J.; Wang, T. Lignin-polysaccharide interactions in plant secondary cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 347.

(37) Kang, X.; Kirui, A.; Muszyński, A.; Widanage, M. C. D.; Chen, A.; Azadi, P.; Wang, P.; Mentink-Vigier, F.; Wang, T. Molecular architecture of fungal cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 2747.

(38) Ehren, H. L.; Appels, F. V. W.; Houben, K.; Renault, M. A. M.; Wösten, H. A. B.; Baldus, M. Characterization of the cell wall of a mushroom forming fungus at atomic resolution using solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Cell Surf.* **2020**, *6*, 100046. (39) Chrissian, C.; Camacho, E.; Kelly, J. E.; Wang, H.; Casadevall, A.; Stark, R. E. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy identifies three classes of lipids in *Cryptococcus neoformans* melanized cell walls and whole fungal cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295* (44), 15083–15096.

(40) Chrissian, C.; Lin, C. P.-C.; Camacho, E.; Casadevall, A.; Neiman, A. M.; Stark, R. E. Unconventional Constituents and Shared Molecular Architecture of the Melanized Cell Wall of *C. neoformans* and Spore Wall of *S. cerevisiae. J. Fungi* **2020**, *6* (4), 329.

(41) Fernando, L. D.; Dickwella Widanage, M. C.; Penfield, J.; Lipton, A. S.; Washton, N.; Latgé, J.-P.; Wang, P.; Zhang, L.; Wang, T. Structural Polymorphism of Chitin and Chitosan in Fungal Cell Walls From Solid-State NMR and Principal Component Analysis. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **2021**, 8 (814), No. e727053.

(42) Theillet, F. X.; Binolfi, A.; Bekei, B.; Martorana, A.; Rose, H. M.; Stuiver, M.; Verzini, S.; Lorenz, D.; van Rossum, M.; Goldfarb, D.; Selenko, P. Structural disorder of monomeric α -synuclein persists in mammalian cells. *Nature* **2016**, *530* (7588), 45–50.

(43) Lu, M.; Russell, R. W.; Bryer, A. J.; Quinn, C. M.; Hou, G.; Zhang, H.; Schwieters, C. D.; Perilla, J. R.; Gronenborn, A. M.; Polenova, T. Atomic-resolution structure of HIV-1 capsid tubes by magic-angle spinning NMR. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2020**, *27* (9), 863– 869.

(44) Overall, S. A.; Price, L. E.; Albert, B. J.; Gao, C.; Alaniva, N.; Judge, P. T.; Sesti, E. L.; Wender, P. A.; Kyei, G. B.; Barnes, A. B. *In Situ* Detection of Endogenous HIV Activation by Dynamic Nuclear Polarization NMR and Flow Cytometry. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21* (13), 4649.

(45) Arnold, A. A.; Genard, B.; Zito, F.; Tremblay, R.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I. Identification of lipid and saccharide constituents of whole microalgal cells by ¹³C solid-state NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2015**, *1848* (1), 369–377.

(46) Arnold, A. A.; Bourgouin, J.-P.; Genard, B.; Warschawski, D. E.; Tremblay, R.; Marcotte, I. Whole cell solid-state NMR study of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* microalgae. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2018**, 70 (2), 123–131.

(47) Poulhazan, A.; Arnold, A. A.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I. Solid-state NMR study of microalgal membranes and cell walls. In *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.*; IOP Publishing, 2020; pp 4-1–4-23.

(48) Wilson, L. A.; Deligey, F.; Wang, T.; Cosgrove, D. J. Saccharide analysis of onion outer epidermal walls. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **2021**, *14* (1), 66.

(49) Zhao, W.; Fernando, L. D.; Kirui, A.; Deligey, F.; Wang, T. Solid-state NMR of plant and fungal cell walls: A critical review. *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **2020**, *107*, 101660.

(50) Kelly, J. E.; Chrissian, C.; Stark, R. E. Tailoring NMR experiments for structural characterization of amorphous biological solids: A practical guide. *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **2020**, *109*, 101686.

(51) Fott, B. A monograph of the genus *Chlorella*. The fresh water species. *Stud. Phyco.* **1969**, 10–70.

(52) Krienitz, L.; Hegewald, E. H.; Hepperle, D.; Huss, V. A. R.; Rohr, T.; Wolf, M. Phylogenetic relationship of *Chlorella* and *Parachlorella gen. nov.* (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae). *Phycologia* **2004**, 43 (5), 529–542.

(53) de Melo, R. G.; de Andrade, A. F.; Bezerra, R. P.; Viana Marques, D. d. A.; da Silva, V. A.; Paz, S. T.; de Lima Filho, J. L.; Porto, A. L. F. Hydrogel-based Chlorella vulgaris extracts: a new topical formulation for wound healing treatment. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2019**, *31* (6), 3653–3663.

(54) Noguchi, N.; Maruyama, I.; Yamada, A. The influence of *Chlorella* and its hot water extract supplementation on quality of life in patients with breast cancer. *Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.* **2014**, 2014, No. e704619.

(55) Honjoh, K.-i.; Suga, K.; Shinohara, F.; Maruyama, I.; Miyamoto, T.; Hatano, S.; Iio, M. Preparation of Protoplasts from *Chlorella vulgaris* K-73122 and Cell Wall Regeneration of Protoplasts from *C. vulgaris* K-73122 and C-27. *J. Fac. Agric., Kyushu Univ.* **2003**, 47, 257–266. (56) Noguchi, N.; Konishi, F.; Kumamoto, S.; Maruyama, I.; Ando, Y.; Yanagita, T. Beneficial effects of *Chlorella* on glucose and lipid metabolism in obese rodents on a high-fat diet. *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.* **2013**, 7 (2), e95–e105.

(57) Jo, S.-W.; Do, J.-M.; Kang, N. S.; Park, J. M.; Lee, J. H.; Kim, H. S.; Hong, J. W.; Yoon, H.-S. Isolation, Identification, and Biochemical Characteristics of a Cold-Tolerant *Chlorella vulgaris* KNUA007 Isolated from King George Island, Antarctica. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, 8 (11), 935.

(58) Chen, Y.-X.; Liu, X.-Y.; Xiao, Z.; Huang, Y.-F.; Liu, B. Antioxidant activities of polysaccharides obtained from *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* via different ethanol concentrations. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2016**, *91*, 505–509.

(59) Krienitz, L.; Huss, V.; Bock, C. Chlorella: 125 years of the green survivalist. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2015, 20, 67–69.

(60) Juárez, A. B.; Vélez, C. G.; Iñiguez, A. R.; Martínez, D. E.; Rodríguez, M. C.; Vigna, M. S.; del Carmen Ríos de Molina, M. A *Parachlorella kessleri* (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) strain from an extremely acidic geothermal pond in Argentina. *Phycologia* **2011**, *50* (4), 413–421.

(61) Wood-Charlson, E. M.; Hollingsworth, L. L.; Krupp, D. A.; Weis, V. M. Lectin/glycan interactions play a role in recognition in a coral/dinoflagellate symbiosis. *Cell. Microbiol.* **2006**, *8* (12), 1985–93.

(62) Peng, X.; Sun, J.; Michiels, C.; Iserentant, D.; Verachtert, H. Decrease in Cell Surface Galactose Residues of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Enhances Its Coflocculation with *Pediococcus damnosus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, 67 (8), 3413–3417.

(63) Aguirre, A. M.; Bassi, A. Investigation of biomass concentration, lipid production, and cellulose content in Chlorella vulgaris cultures using response surface methodology. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2013**, *110* (8), 2114–22.

(64) Arora, N.; Philippidis, G. P. Insights into the physiology of *Chlorella vulgaris* cultivated in sweet sorghum bagasse hydrolysate for sustainable algal biomass and lipid production. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11* (1), 6779.

(65) Templeton, D. W.; Quinn, M.; Van Wychen, S.; Hyman, D.; Laurens, L. M. Separation and quantification of microalgal carbohydrates. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2012**, *1270*, 225–34.

(66) Kapaun, E.; Reisser, W. A chitin-like glycan in the cell wall of a *Chlorella sp.* (Chlorococcales, Chlorophyceae). *Planta* **1995**, *197* (4), 577–582.

(67) Takeda, H. Classification of *Chlorella* strains by cell wall sugar composition. *Phytochemistry* **1988**, 27 (12), 3823–3826.

(68) Takeda, H. Sugar composition of the cell wall and the taxonomy of *Chlroella* (chlorophyceae). *J. Phycol.* **1991**, 27 (2), 224–232.

(69) Takeda, H. Taxonomical assignment of chlorococal algae from their cell wall composition. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *34* (4), 1053–1055.

(70) Takeda, H. Chemical composition of cell walls as a taxonomical marker. J. Plant Res. **1993**, 106 (3), 195–200.

(71) Tanaka, K.; Shoyama, Y.; Yamada, A.; Noda, K.; Konishi, F.; Nomoto, K. Immunopotentiating effects of a glycoprotein from *Chlorella vulgaris* strain CK and its characteristics. *Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 429–458.

(72) Simmons, T. J.; Mortimer, J. C.; Bernardinelli, O. D.; Pöppler, A.-C.; Brown, S. P.; deAzevedo, E. R.; Dupree, R.; Dupree, P. Folding of xylan onto cellulose fibrils in plant cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7* (1), 13902.

(73) Sakarika, M.; Kornaros, M. Chlorella vulgaris as a green biofuel factory: Comparison between biodiesel, biogas and combustible biomass production. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2019**, *273*, 237–243.

(74) Kartik, A.; Akhil, D.; Lakshmi, D.; Panchamoorthy Gopinath, K.; Arun, J.; Sivaramakrishnan, R.; Pugazhendhi, A. A critical review on production of biopolymers from algae biomass and their applications. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2021**, *329*, 124868.

(75) Onen Cinar, S.; Chong, Z. K.; Kucuker, M. A.; Wieczorek, N.; Cengiz, U.; Kuchta, K. Bioplastic Production from Microalgae: A Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17* (11), No. e3842. (76) Ponthier, E.; Domínguez, H.; Torres, M. D. The microwave assisted extraction sway on the features of antioxidant compounds and gelling biopolymers from Mastocarpus stellatus. *Algal Res.* **2020**, *51*, 102081.

(77) Luo, W.; Pröschold, T.; Bock, C.; Krienitz, L. Generic concept in *Chlorella*-related coccoid green algae (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae). *Plant Biol.* (*Berlin, Ger.*) **2010**, *12* (3), 545–53.

(78) Wang, T.; Park, Y. B.; Cosgrove, D. J.; Hong, M. Cellulose-Pectin Spatial Contacts Are Inherent to Never-Dried Arabidopsis Primary Cell Walls: Evidence from Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. *Plant Physiol.* **2015**, *168* (3), 871–84.

(79) Davis, J. H.; Nichol, C. P.; Weeks, G.; Bloom, M. Study of the cytoplasmic and outer membranes of Escherichia coli by deuterium magnetic resonance. *Biochemistry* **1979**, *18* (10), 2103–12.

(80) Ciucanu, I.; Kerek, F. A simple and rapid method for the permethylation of carbohydrates. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1984**, *131* (2), 209–217.

(81) Morcombe, C. R.; Zilm, K. W. Chemical shift referencing in MAS solid state NMR. J. Magn. Reson. 2003, 162 (2), 479–86.

(82) Kang, X.; Zhao, W.; Dickwella Widanage, M. C.; Kirui, A.; Ozdenvar, U.; Wang, T. CCMRD: a solid-state NMR database for complex carbohydrates. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2020**, 74 (4–5), 239–245.

(83) Lesage, A.; Auger, C.; Caldarelli, S.; Emsley, L. Determination of Through-Bond Carbon-Carbon Connectivities in Solid-State NMR Using the INADEQUATE Experiment. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119* (33), 7867–7868.

(84) Cadars, S.; Sein, J.; Duma, L.; Lesage, A.; Pham, T. N.; Baltisberger, J. H.; Brown, S. P.; Emsley, L. The refocused INADEQUATE MAS NMR experiment in multiple spin-systems: interpreting observed correlation peaks and optimizing lineshapes. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2007**, *188* (1), 24–34.

(85) Perras, F. A.; Luo, H.; Zhang, X.; Mosier, N. S.; Pruski, M.; Abu-Omar, M. M. Atomic-Level Structure Characterization of Biomass Pre- and Post-Lignin Treatment by Dynamic Nuclear Polarization-Enhanced Solid-State NMR. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2017, *121* (3), 623–630.

(86) Webber, A. L.; Pell, A. J.; Barbet-Massin, E.; Knight, M. J.; Bertini, I.; Felli, I. C.; Pierattelli, R.; Emsley, L.; Lesage, A.; Pintacuda, G. Combination of DQ and ZQ Coherences for Sensitive Through-Bond NMR Correlation Experiments in Biosolids under Ultra-Fast MAS. *ChemPhysChem* **2012**, *13* (9), 2405–2411.

(87) Hou, G.; Yan, S.; Trébosc, J.; Amoureux, J. P.; Polenova, T. Broadband homonuclear correlation spectroscopy driven by combined $R2_n^{\nu}$ sequences under fast magic angle spinning for NMR structural analysis of organic and biological solids. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2013**, 232, 18–30.

(88) Lu, X.; Guo, C.; Hou, G.; Polenova, T. Combined zeroquantum and spin-diffusion mixing for efficient homonuclear correlation spectroscopy under fast MAS: broadband recoupling and detection of long-range correlations. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2015**, *61* (1), 7–20.

(89) De Paëpe, G.; Lewandowski, J. R.; Loquet, A.; Böckmann, A.; Griffin, R. G. Proton assisted recoupling and protein structure determination. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *129* (24), No. e245101.

(90) Poulhazan, A.; Arnold, A. A.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I. Unambiguous Ex Situ and in Cell 2D (13)C Solid-State NMR Characterization of Starch and Its Constituents. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19 (12), No. e3817.

(91) Neelamegham, S.; Aoki-Kinoshita, K.; Bolton, E.; Frank, M.; Lisacek, F.; Lütteke, T.; O'Boyle, N.; Packer, N. H.; Stanley, P.; Toukach, P.; Varki, A.; Woods, R. J.; Group, T. S. D.; et al. Updates to the Symbol Nomenclature for Glycans guidelines. *Glycobiology* **2019**, *29* (9), 620–624.

(92) Lesage, A.; Bardet, M.; Emsley, L. Through-Bond Carbon-Carbon Connectivities in Disordered Solids by NMR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121 (47), 10987–10993.

(93) Fayon, F.; Massiot, D.; Levitt, M. H.; Titman, J. J.; Gregory, D. H.; Duma, L.; Emsley, L.; Brown, S. P. Through-space contributions

to two-dimensional double-quantum J correlation NMR spectra of magic-angle-spinning solids. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 122 (19), 194313.

(94) Terrett, O. M.; Lyczakowski, J. J.; Yu, L.; Iuga, D.; Franks, W. T.; Brown, S. P.; Dupree, R.; Dupree, P. Molecular architecture of softwood revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 4978.

(95) Gao, Y.; Mortimer, J. C. Unlocking the architecture of native plant cell walls via solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance. In *Methods Cell Biol.*; Anderson, C. T., Haswell, E. S., Dixit, R., Eds.; Academic Press, 2020; Chapter 7, Vol. *160*, pp 121–143.

(96) Chylla, R. A.; Hu, K.; Ellinger, J. J.; Markley, J. L. Deconvolution of Two-Dimensional NMR Spectra by Fast Maximum Likelihood Reconstruction: Application to Quantitative Metabolomics. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83* (12), 4871–4880.

(97) Mulder, F. A. A.; Tenori, L.; Luchinat, C. Fast and Quantitative NMR Metabolite Analysis Afforded by a Paramagnetic Co-Solute. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58* (43), 15283–15286.

(98) Guerry, P.; Brown, S. P.; Smith, M. E. Strong-coupling induced damping of spin-echo modulations in magic-angle-spinning NMR: Implications for J coupling measurements in disordered solids. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2017**, 283, 22–32.

(99) Guerry, P.; Smith, M. E.; Brown, S. P. ³¹P MAS Refocused INADEQUATE Spin-Echo (REINE) NMR Spectroscopy: Revealing J Coupling and Chemical Shift Two-Dimensional Correlations in Disordered Solids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (33), 11861–11874.

(100) Gross, J. D.; Costa, P. R.; Dubacq, J. P.; Warschawski, D. E.; Lirsac, P. N.; Devaux, P. F.; Griffin, R. G. Multidimensional NMR in lipid systems. Coherence transfer through J couplings under MAS. J. Magn. Reson., Ser. B **1995**, 106 (2), 187–90.

(101) Duma, L.; Hediger, S.; Lesage, A.; Sakellariou, D.; Emsley, L. Carbon-13 lineshapes in solid-state NMR of labeled compounds. Effects of coherent CSA-dipolar cross-correlation. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2003**, *162* (1), 90–101.

(102) Igumenova, T. I.; McDermott, A. E. Improvement of resolution in solid state NMR spectra with J-decoupling: an analysis of lineshape contributions in uniformly ¹³C-enriched amino acids and proteins. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2003**, *164* (2), 270–85.

(103) Wang, T.; Hong, M. Solid-state NMR investigations of cellulose structure and interactions with matrix polysaccharides in plant primary cell walls. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2016**, *67* (2), 503–514.

(104) Klepach, T.; Zhang, W.; Carmichael, I.; Serianni, A. S. ¹³C-¹H and ¹³C-¹³C NMR J-Couplings in ¹³C-Labeled N-Acetyl-neuraminic Acid: Correlations with Molecular Structure. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73* (12), 4376–4387.

(105) Hadad, M. J.; Zhang, W.; Turney, T.; Sernau, L.; Wang, X.; Woods, R. J.; Incandela, A.; Surjancev, I.; Wang, A.; Yoon, M.-K.; Coscia, A.; Euell, C.; Meredith, R.; Carmichael, I.; Serianni, A. S. NMR Spin-Couplings in Saccharides: Relationships Between Structure, Conformation and the Magnitudes of JHH, JCH and JCC Values. In *NMR Glycosci. Glycotech.*; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2017; Chapter 2, pp 20–100.

(106) Ismail, F. M. D.; Nahar, L.; Sarker, S. D. Application of INADEQUATE NMR techniques for directly tracing out the carbon skeleton of a natural product. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2021**, 32 (1), 7-23.

(107) Zhang, W.; Yoon, M.-K.; Meredith, R. J.; Zajicek, J.; Oliver, A. G.; Hadad, M.; Frey, M. H.; Carmichael, I.; Serianni, A. S. ¹³C-¹³C spin-coupling constants in crystalline ¹³C-labeled saccharides: conformational effects interrogated by solid-state ¹³C NMR spectros-copy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21* (42), 23576–23588.

(108) Donovan, K. J.; Jain, S. K.; Silvers, R.; Linse, S.; Griffin, R. G. Proton-Assisted Recoupling (PAR) in Peptides and Proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2017, *121* (48), 10804–10817.

(109) Colvin, M. T.; Silvers, R.; Ni, Q. Z.; Can, T. V.; Sergeyev, I.; Rosay, M.; Donovan, K. J.; Michael, B.; Wall, J.; Linse, S.; Griffin, R. G. Atomic Resolution Structure of Monomorphic $A\beta$ 42 Amyloid Fibrils. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138 (30), 9663–9674.

(110) Lewandowski, J.; De Paëpe, G.; Eddy, M.; Struppe, J.; Maas, W. E. J. R.; Griffin, R. Proton Assisted Recoupling at High Spinning Frequencies. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 9062–9.

(111) Donovan, K. J.; Silvers, R.; Linse, S.; Griffin, R. G. 3D MAS NMR Experiment Utilizing Through-Space 15N–15N Correlations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139 (19), 6518–6521.

(112) Lee, M.; Wang, T.; Makhlynets, O. V.; Wu, Y.; Polizzi, N. F.; Wu, H.; Gosavi, P. M.; Stöhr, J.; Korendovych, I. V.; DeGrado, W. F.; Hong, M. Zinc-binding structure of a catalytic amyloid from solidstate NMR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114* (24), 6191–6196. (113) Wang, T.; Williams, J. K.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Hong, M.

Relaxation-compensated difference spin diffusion NMR for detecting ${}^{13}C^{-13}C$ long-range correlations in proteins and polysaccharides. *J. Biomol.* NMR **2015**, *61* (2), 97–107.

(114) Findinier, J.; Laurent, S.; Duchêne, T.; Roussel, X.; Lancelon-Pin, C.; Cuiné, S.; Putaux, J.-L.; Li-Beisson, Y.; D'Hulst, C.; Wattebled, F.; Dauvillée, D. Deletion of BSG1 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* leads to abnormal starch granule size and morphology. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 1990–1991.

(115) Loos, E.; Meindl, D. Cell wall-lytic activity in Chlorella fusca. Planta 1984, 160 (4), 357-362.

(116) Mócsai, R.; Figl, R.; Troschl, C.; Strasser, R.; Svehla, E.; Windwarder, M.; Thader, A.; Altmann, F. N-glycans of the microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* are of the oligomannosidic type but highly methylated. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, 9 (1), 331–332.

(117) Loos, E.; Meindl, D. Composition of the cell wall of *Chlorella fusca*. *Planta* **1982**, *156* (3), 270–3.

(118) Ishiguro, S.; Robben, N.; Burghart, R.; Cote, P.; Greenway, S.; Thakkar, R.; Upreti, D.; Nakashima, A.; Suzuki, K.; Comer, J.; Tamura, M. Cell Wall Membrane Fraction of *Chlorella sorokiniana* Enhances Host Antitumor Immunity and Inhibits Colon Carcinoma Growth in Mice. *Integr. Cancer Ther.* **2020**, *19*, 1–10.

(119) Hsieh, Y. S. Y.; Harris, P. J. Xylans of Red and Green Algae: What Is Known about Their Structures and How They Are Synthesised? *Polymers (Basel, Switz.)* **2019**, *11* (2), 354.

(120) Goncalves, E. C.; Johnson, J. V.; Rathinasabapathi, B. Conversion of membrane lipid acyl groups to triacylglycerol and formation of lipid bodies upon nitrogen starvation in biofuel green algae *Chlorella* UTEX29. *Planta* **2013**, 238 (5), 895–906.

(121) Uchikawa, T.; Yasutake, A.; Kumamoto, Y.; Maruyama, I.; Kumamoto, S.; Ando, Y. The influence of Parachlorella beyerinckii CK-5 on the absorption and excretion of methylmercury (MeHg) in mice. J. Toxicol. Sci. 2010, 35 (1), 101–5.

(122) Ogawa, K.; Ikeda, Y.; Kondo, S. A new trisaccharide, α -D-glucopyranuronosyl-(1-3)- α -l-rhamnopyranosyl-(1-2)- α -l-rhamnopyranose from Chlorella vulgaris. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1999**, 321 (1), 128–131.

(123) Bito, T.; Okumura, E.; Fujishima, M.; Watanabe, F. Potential of Chlorella as a Dietary Supplement to Promote Human Health. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12* (9), 2524.

(124) Robertson, G. P.; Hamilton, S. K.; Barham, B. L.; Dale, B. E.; Izaurralde, R. C.; Jackson, R. D.; Landis, D. A.; Swinton, S. M.; Thelen, K. D.; Tiedje, J. M. Cellulosic biofuel contributions to a sustainable energy future: Choices and outcomes. *Science* **2017**, *356* (6345), No. eaal2324.

(125) Zhang, Y.; Yu, J.; Wang, X.; Durachko, D. M.; Zhang, S.; Cosgrove, D. J. Molecular insights into the complex mechanics of plant epidermal cell walls. *Science* **2021**, *372* (6543), 706–711.

Identification and Quantification of Glycans in Whole Cells: Architecture of Microalgal Polysaccharides Described by Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

Alexandre Poulhazan¹, Malitha C. Dickwella Widanage², Artur Muszyński³, Alexandre A. Arnold¹, Dror E. Warschawski⁴, Parastoo Azadi³, Isabelle Marcotte^{1*}, Tuo Wang^{2*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Quebec at Montreal, Montreal, H2X 2J6, Canada
 ² Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
 ³ Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
 ⁴ Laboratoire des Biomolécules, LBM, CNRS UMR 7203, Sorbonne Université, École normale supérieure, PSL University, 75005 Paris, France

* Corresponding authors: tuowang@lsu.edu; marcotte.isabelle@uqam.ca

Table of Contents

Figure S1. Comparison of never-dried and rehydrated microalgal cells	3
Figure S2. Electron ionization mass spectra of ¹³ C labeled <i>P. beijerinckii</i> glycans	4
Figure S3. Comparison of <i>P. beijerinckii</i> and other algae	5
Figure S4. Comparison of DP and CP J-INADEQUATE spectra	6
Figure S5. Representative spin systems assigned using refocused INADEQUATE spectrum	7
Figure S6. Protein signals in P. beijerinckii cells	8
Figure S7. Flow chart for glycan quantification	9
Figure S8. Calibration factor for starch content	10
Figure S9. Peak splitting observed on carbohydrates in whole cells	11
Table S1. Glycosyl composition analysis of the neutral sugars in <i>P. beijerinckii</i> .	12
Table S2. ¹³ C-glycosyl linkages of uniformly ¹³ C labeled <i>P. beijerinckii</i> cells.	13
Table S3. MAS ssNMR experiments used for characterizing P. beijerinckii cells.	14
Table S4. ¹³ C chemical shifts of polysaccharides in <i>P. beijerinckii</i> CK-5 cells.	15
Table S5. Comparison of observed ¹³ C chemical shifts with literature values.	16
Table S6. Tentative assignment of ambiguous spin pairs.	20
Table S7. Details of the different methods used for quantification.	22
Table S8. ¹³ C raw integrals used for quantification of <i>P. beijerinckii</i> polysaccharides.	23
Table S9. List of correlations found in the different experiments.	27
References for glycan assignment	32



Figure S1. Comparison of never-dried and rehydrated microalgal cells. (a) Pictures of fresh and neverdried *C. reinhardtii* cells (left, black) and freeze-dried and then rehydrated cells (right, orange). (b) 1D ¹³C spectra of fresh samples (black) and rehydrated materials (orange). From top to bottom are the DP spectrum with a 2 s recycle delay to detect mobile molecules, DP spectrum with a 30 s recycle delay for quantitative detection, and CP spectrum to select rigid components. Only relatively small changes were observed for the protein fraction and glycans, except for a slight increase of the starch signal in the CP spectrum, which can be due to biological variations. (c) 2D refocused DP J-INADEQUATE spectra largely retaining a comparable pattern after freeze-drying and rehydration.

3



Figure S2. Electron ionization mass spectra (EI-MS). Proposed interpretation of the fragmentation patterns for alditol acetates of ¹³C-labeled (~100%) neutral glycosyl residues identified in the *P. beijerinckii* cells are provided.



Figure S3. Comparison of *P. beijerinckii* with other microalgae. *P. beijerinckii* spectra were collected with an 800 MHz NMR spectrometer while the data of other three samples were adapted from Arnold *et al.*^{1,2} obtained with a 400 MHz spectrometer. (**a**) 1D ¹³C CP spectra of *P. beijerinckii* and other microalgae showing a different cellulose content (highlighted by arrows). *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Pavlova lutheri* are cellulose-rich marine microalgae producing chrysolaminarin for energy storage, while *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* has a glycoprotein-rich cell wall and produces starch as energy storage. (**b**) 1D ¹³C DP spectra (with 3 and 30 s recycle delays) of *P. beijerinckii* and other microalgae (with a 5 s recycle delay) show a high content of carbohydrate components. (**c**) Partial similarity between *P. beijerinckii* (magenta) and *C. reinhardtii* (blue and grey for CP-DARR and RINEPT-TOBSY, respectively) carbohydrates as revealed by signal overlap in 2D ¹³C-¹³C correlation spectra. Dashed rectangles are expected C3/5-C4 correlation range for cellulose. Data of *N. oculata*, *P. lutheri*, and *C. reinhardtii* were adapted from Arnold *et al.*^{1,2}. Copyright 2015 Elsevier and 2018 Springer Nature.



Figure S4. **Comparison of DP and CP J-INADEQUATE spectra.** The CP-based spectrum (orange) primarily shows rigid molecules, mostly from starch and xylose units. The DP-based spectrum (black) shows many more signals from different sugar units. The spectrum was acquired with an 800 MHz NMR and a 13.5 kHz MAS frequency.



Figure S5. Representative spin systems assigned using refocused DP *J***-INADEQUATE.** Complete carbon connectivity was shown for multiple *P. beijerinckii* carbohydrates, including (**a**) starch (including one starch-associated unit), (**b**) galactose, (**c**) rhamnose, and (**d**) arabinose. The spectrum was collected on with an 800 MHz NMR and a 13.5 kHz MAS frequency



Figure S6. **Protein signals in** *P. beijerinckii* **cells.** Zoom of the aliased region of the refocused DP (black contours) and CP (orange contours)-based J-INADEQUATE. Some of the peaks are not aliased in this window and come from other amino acid residues (blue dashed lines). Most of the aliased peaks come from amino acids (orange region), but rhamnose residues (green region), with their specific low C₆ chemical shift, are also aliased. The black dashed line represents the hypothetical diagonal if this part of the spectrum was not aliased.



Figure S7. **Decision tree for peak integral from a refocused INADEQUATE spectrum.** (a) This chart allows the introduction of integral normalization to account for the number of peaks from the spectrum. (b) Representative example of the quantification of mannose units using both Methods 1 and 2 as described in this work, showing that method 2 leads to a better agreement with mass spectrometry.



Figure S8. Calibration factor for starch content. After normalization by the number of scans, (**a**) the two ¹³C DP spectra with recycling delays of 30 and 2 s are adjusted to (**b**) match the starch C1 intensity at 100 ppm. This gives the 3.5 correction factor to adjust starch intensity described in the main text and in **Figure 4c** and detailed in **Table S7**.



Figure S9. Peak splitting observed on carbohydrates in whole cells. The refocused DP *J*-INADEQUATE spectrum and the extracted cross sections are shown for different carbon sites. Doublets could be observed for most central carbons but not for the anomeric carbon (C1) or the terminal carbons (C5 or C6 depending on the sugar structure).

Table S1. Glycosyl composition analysis of the neutral sugars in *P. beijerinckii*. Results were obtained using uniformly ¹³C-labeled cells and mass spectrometry. The glucose (Glc) line is in bold because it is the main species found, mainly due to high amount of starch in the cell.

¹³ C alditol	Relative mole (%)
Ara	0.8
Rha	3.7
Xyl	1.1
Man	1.4
Gal	8.2
Glc (including starch)	84.8

Table S2. ¹³**C-glycosyl linkages of uniformly** ¹³**C labeled** *P. beijerinckii* **cells.** Results were determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The percentages given below are the peak area from the EI detector response (%). The species in bold are the most abundant linkages mostly associated with starch molecules.

13C linkogo	Relative EI
¹⁶ C linkage	detector response (%)
t-Araf	1.19
1,2/1,3-Rhap	2.85
t-Xylp	1.06
t-Manp	0.46
t-Galf	0.77
t-Galp	0.81
1,6-Galp	4.84
1,3-Galp	3.85
1,3/1,6-Galp	1.21
1,3/1,4/1,6-	3 63
Galp	5.05
t-Glcp	2.17
t-Glcf	0.51
1,4-Glcp	44.93
1,3/1,4-Glcp	23.10
1,2/1,3-Glcp	1.74
1.4/1.6-Glcp	6.89

Table S3. **MAS ssNMR experiments used for characterizing** *P. beijerinckii* **cells.** 1D spectra allowed to excite different regions of the microalgal cells based on their dynamics. To obtain a quantitative spectrum, we used direct pulse (DP) experiments with a 30 s recycling delay. Using cross-polarization (CP), the most rigid molecules were selected. With DP and a shorter recycling delay of 2 s, rigid molecules were suppressed from the spectrum, and with Insensitive Nuclei Enhanced by Polarization Transfer (INEPT), the most mobile molecules were selected. The SQ 2D spectra were obtained using 14 ms PAR (Proton-Assisted Recoupling), 1.5 ms RFDR (Radio-Frequency Driven Recoupling), and 53 ms CORD (COmbined $R2^v_n$ -Driven recoupling). For glycan assignment, DQ 2D spectra were used, either DP or CP-INADEQUATE (Incredible Natural Abundance DoublE QUAntum Transfer Experiment).

	Experiment	Total time	Recyc. Delay	n.	n.	n.	Aq.	indirect Aq.
	Experiment	(h)	(S)	scans	point	increment	(ms)	(ms)
1D	DP	0.1	2	128	4096	1	28.7	
	DP	0.5	30	64	4096	1	28.7	
	CP	0.1	2	128	2400	1	16.8	
	INEPT	0.2	4	128	4096	1	28.7	
2D SQ-SQ	PAR	6	2	64	1920	172	14.3	4.5
	RFDR	3	2	16	2500	320	18.7	8
	CORD	5	2	16	2400	560	17.9	7.3
2D DQ-SQ	DP-INADEQUATE	3	2	16	2400	350	17.9	7
	CP-INADEQUATE	1.7	2	16	2400	200	17.9	6.5

Table S4. ¹³**C chemical shifts of polysaccharides in** *P. beijerinckii CK-5* **cells.** Underlined values could be associated with other glycans due to chemical shift ambiguity when comparing to literature values. (/) is used for unidentified carbons. All chemical shifts will be deposited on the CCMRD database, with the original Bruker dataset available upon request.

Sugar Type	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	Ac	Met
Starch associated								
units								
starch a	101.3	73.9	75.4	76.6	70.5	62.7		
starch b	100.2	72.4	74	77.7	71.8	61.3		
starch c	99	72	76	74.7	70.9	62		
starch-as-Glc1	96.6	75	76.6	70.4	72	61.4		
starch-as-Glc2	92.7	72.4	75.4	77.6	76.8	61.5		
starch-end1	100.3	72.3	73.7	70.1	73.5	61.3		
starch-end2	100.2	72.4	74	77.7	75.1	61.4		
Glucose units								
Glucan 1	/	/	/	69.4	75.7	61.7		
Glucan 2	104	70.1	82.4	64.8	74.1	64.8		
Glucan 3	102.7	73.7	67.1	/	/	/		
Glucose1	94.6	71.7	73.4	69.4	73.4	61.4		
Arabinose units								
Ara1	107.9	81.7	77.8	82.2	64.7			
Ara2	108	81.7	75.2	81.3	63.1			
Ara3	/	89	74.8	81.6	63			
Ara4	/	78	77	83	71			
Galactose units								
Gal1	104	70.3	72.2	76.3	70.5	61.3		
Gal2	98.4	72.2	73.9	77.9	76.7	61.6		
Gal3	104	70.2	82.4	65.1	74	70		
Mannose units								
a-Man1	99.7	69.1	72.8	74.9	73	60.9		
a-Man2	93.1	69.1	72.8	74.9	73	60.9		
b-Man1	102.1	63.7	73.4	69.5	74.1	66.1		
Rhamnose units								
Rha1	99.6	80.3	72	70.4	68.2	20.5		
Rha2	101.1	75.8	73.2	71.6	69.4	20.9		
Rha3	/	/	/	/	69	20.8		
Rha4	/	/	/	/	69.9	17.3		
Rha5	/	/	/	/	67.7	19.5		
Rha6	/	/	/	/	66.6	20.2		
Rha7	/	/	/	/	67.6	17.3		
Rha8	/	/	/	/	67.3	16.8		
Xylose units								
Xyl1	103.9	71.5	<u>70.1</u>	73.4	61.3			
Xyl2	104	71.6	73.2	67.6	63.6			
Xyl3	103.9	71.5	73.4	69.3	62.4			
Xyl-2fold4	103.9	71.5	73.5	84.9	61.6			
xyl-2fold5	102.5	76.2	75.3	81.3	67.5			
Xyl-2fold6	104.8	72	75.8	82	61.8			
Acetyl-methyl								
groups								
Ac-Met1							21.2	174.4
Ac-Met2							20.8	173.8

Table S5. Comparison of observed ¹³**C chemical shifts with literature values.** The values obtained using ¹³C-labeled *P. beijerinckii* cells were compared to literature values found in the CCMRD database. References cited here are given in a dedicated section below. Values with the best match with the literature are given in bold. Values of the ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ couplings deduced from deconvolution of slices from the refocused DP-INADEQUATE spectrum are given in a dedicated column. The "s" indicates the peak that is not splitted and is a singlet.

Glycan units		SQ	SQ		¹ J _{CC} (Hz)) reference 1		refere	ence 2	reference 3	
starch a						Poulhaz	an 2018³	<u>Rondeau</u>	<i>I-М. 2006</i> 4	Poulhaz	an 2018 ³
	C1	101.3	73.9	C2	s/76.0	100.6	72.5	100.2	72.1	101.8	73.6
	C2	73.9	75.4	C3	78.2/65.2	72.5	74.2	72.1	75.1		
	C3	75.4	76.6	C4	79.6/89.6	74.2	77.9	75.1	74.3		
	C4	76.6	70.5	C5	83.2/65.2	77.9	71.9	74.3	70.2		
	C5	70.5	62.7	C6	72.4/s	71.9	61.6	70.2	61.3		
starch b						<u>Paris</u>	<u>1999⁵</u>	<u>Poulhaz</u>	an 2018³		
	C1	100.2	72.4	C2	s/78.3	100.4		100.6	72.5		
	C2	72.4	74.0	C3	82.4/58.3			72.5	74.2		
	C3	74.0	77.7	C4	58.3/65.6			74.2	77.9		
	C4	77.7	71.8	C5	65.6/65.6			77.9	71.9		
	C5	71.8	61.3	C6	66.3/s			71.9	61.6		
starch c						<u>Paris</u>	<u>1999⁵</u>	<u>Rondeau</u>	<u>-М. 2006⁴</u>	<u>Poulhaz</u>	<u>an 2018</u> 3
	C1	99.0	72.0	C2	s/71.6	99.4		100.2	72.1	99.1	71.9
	C2	72.0	76.0	C3	76/57.9			72.1	75.1		
	C3	76.0	74.7	C4	50.7/49.8			75.1	74.3		
	C4	74.7	70.9	C5	67.5/64.9			74.3	70.2		
	C5	70.9	62.0	C6	68.8/s			70.2	61.3		
starch-as-Glc1						<u>Poulhazan 2018³</u>		<u>Poulhaz</u>	<u>an 2018³</u>	<u>Bradbu</u>	<u>ıry 1984⁶ (</u>
	C1	96.6	75.0	C2	s/76.7	96.7	74.9	100.5	72.3	92.9	72.5
	C2	75.0	76.6	C3	66.3/55.7			72.3	73.7	72.5	73.8
	C3	76.6	70.4	C4	83.2/65.2			73.7	70.4	73.8	70.6
	C4	70.4	72.0	C5	76/54.3			70.4	73.7	70.6	72.3
	C5	72.0	61.4	C6	61.5/s			73.7	61.1	72.3	61.6
starch-as-Glc2						<u>Poulhaz</u>	<u>an 2018</u> ³	<u>Roubroe</u>	<u>eks 2001′</u>		
	C1	92.7	72.4	C2	S	92.7	72.4				
	C2	72.4	75.4	C3		72.4	74.9	73.8	75		
	C3	75.4	77.6	C4	57.9/83.2	74.9	76.9	75	79.4		
	C4	77.6	76.8	C5	65.2/65.2	76.9	70.4	79.4	76.8		
	C5	76.8	61.5	C6	68.8/s	70.4	61.4	76.8	60.9		
starch-end1	.			~ ~		Poulhaz	<u>an 2018</u> ³				
	C1	100.3	72.3	C2	S S	100.5	72.3				
	C2	72.3	73.7	C3	86.9/72.4	72.3	73.7				
	C3	/3./	70.1	C4	68.8/57.9	/3./	70.4				
	C4	70.1	73.5	05	57.9/61.5	70.4	/3./				
	05	73.5	61.3	60	72.4/s	73.7	61.1				
starch-end2	04	400.0	70.4	00	N/ 0/-						
	C1	100.2	72.4	02	IN.A/S						
	02	72.4	74.0 77 7	03	80.9/12.4	Dondoo	M 20008				
		74.U 77.0	75 1	04 C5	57.9/03.Z		<u>-1vi. 2000°</u> 72 7				
	C4 C5	75 1	61 /	C0 C6	61 5/c	73 7	61.0				
Glucan 1	00	73.1	01.4	00	01.0/5	Arnola	12015 ⁹				
							2010	1		1	

	C1	/	/	C2	/	102.7	73.8		
	C2	/	/	C3	/	73.8	84.4		
	C3	/	/	C4	/	84.4	68.3		
	C4	69.4	75.7	C5	54.3/61.5	68.3	75.9		
	C5	75.7	61.7	C6	65.2/s	75.9	60.9		
Glucan 2						<u>Roubroe</u>	<u>ks 20017</u>	<u>Pfeffe</u>	r 1984 ¹⁰
	C1	104.0	70.1	C2	s/65.2	103.1	73.7	92.9	70.5
	C2	70.1	82.4	C3	57.9/37.9	73.7	85.1	70.5	73
	C3	82.4	64.8	C4	65.2/72.4	85.1	68.9	73	72.6
	C4	64.8	74.1	C5	N.A/N.A	68.9	76.4	72.6	71.6
	C5	74.1	64.8	C6	54.3/s	76.4	61	71.6	63.7
Glucan3						<u>Laguri</u>	201811		
	C1	102.7	73.7	C2	s/61.3	103.3	74.3		
	C2	73.7	67.1	C3	32.6/43.4	74.3	70.8		
Glucose1						<u>Gorin</u>	1975 ¹²	<u>Bradbu</u>	<u>ıry 1984⁶ (</u>
	C1	94.6	71.7	C2	S	92.7	72.1	92.9	72.5
	C2	71.7	73.4	C3	72.4/76	72.1	73.4	72.5	73.8
	C3	73.4	69.4	C4	N.A/N.A	73.4	70.4	73.8	70.6
	C4	69.4	73.4	C5	83.2/65.2	70.4	72.1	70.6	72.3
	C5	73.4	61.4	C6	72.4/s	72.1	61.3	72.3	61.6
Ara1						<u>Rondeau</u>	- <u>M. 2008</u> 8	<u>Wang</u>	2014 ¹³
	C1	107.9	81.7	C2	s/N.A	108.6	81.9	110	82.2
	C2	81.7	77.8	C3	43.9/54.5	81.9	78.1	82.2	77.8
	C3	77.8	82.2	C4	43.9/54.5	78.1	83.6	77.8	83
	C4	82.2	64.7	C5	65.2/s	83.6	68	83	64
Ara2				_		<u>Rondeau</u>	<u>-М. 2008⁸</u>		
	C1	108.0	81.7	C2	s/N.A	108.6	81.9	110	82.2
	C2	81.7	75.2	C3	68.8/76.0	81.9	78.1	82.2	77.8
	C3	75.2	81.3	C4	68.8/76.0	78.1	83.6	77.8	83
	C4	81.3	63.1	C5	79.6/s	83.6	68	83	64
Ara3						<u>Wang</u>	<u>2014¹³</u>	Arnole	<u>d 2015⁹</u>
	C1	/	/	C2		107.3	87.8	103.2	77.5
	C2	89	74.8	C3	N.A/N.A	87.8	72.6	77.5	75.7
	C3	74.8	81.6	C4	68.8/76.0			75.7	83.1
A 4	C4	81.6	63	C5	79.6/s	17	004014	83.1	64.2
Ara4	~	,	,	00	- /b ! _ A	Kang	<u>2018'</u> *	<u>Arnolo</u>	<u>a 2015°</u> 77 -
	01	/	/	02	S/N.A	107.6	81.9	103.2	//.5 75 7
	02	78 77	()	03	65.2/65.2	81.9	(1.1	//.5 75 7	/5./
	03	()	83	C4	43.9/54.5	(/.1	83.3	/ 5 ./	୪୪.1 ଜଣ୍ଡ ଚ
Gal1	64	ზპ	71	60	IN.A/S	03.3	09.9	03.1	04.Z
Gan	C 1	101	70.0	00		DICK-Per	<u>ez 2011**</u>		<u>rg 2011'</u>
		70.2	70.3	02	S/05.2	105	72.0	96.5	09.0
	C2	70.3	72.2	C3	76/54.3	72.0	74.3	09.0	70.1
		76.2	70.3	04 05	79.0/00.7	74.3	76.4 75.0	70.1	74.5
	C4	76.3	70.5	05	83.2/65.2	78.4	75.Z	71.0	64.4
Gala	65	70.5	01.3	60	12.1/S	15.2	01./	11.3 Habib	01.1 1 200417
Gaiz	C1	00.4	70.0	<u></u>	1	<u>vvang</u>	<u>2014'°</u> 70 F	10E 2	<u>12004''</u> 727
		98.4 70.0	12.2	02		105 70 F	12.5	105.2	12.1 74 A
	02	12.2	13.9		00.9/12.4	12.5	70.4	12.1	/4.4 70 F
		13.9	11.9 76.7	04 CE	IN.A/IN.A	79.4	/ ð.4 75 2	14.4 70 E	(0.0 75 0
	C4	11.9 76.7	10.1	05 Ce	62.2/05.2	10.4 75 2	13.3	/0.0 75.2	10.3
Gal2	65	10.1	0.10	00	00.8/5	13.3	۱.۱۵ ۵۵۱۵ ¹⁸	15.5	01.9
Gais						<u>1an 2</u>	201010		

	C1	104	70.2	C2	s/65.2	103.9	70				
	C2	70.3	82.4	C3	57 9/37 9	70	81 9				
	C3	82 /	65 1	C4	65 2/72 4	81.0	68.5				
	C4	0Z.4 65.1	74	04		61.5 69 5	72.0				
	04	74	74	00	N.A/N.A	72.0	72.9				
- Man4	05	74	70	00	00.0/5	12.9	70.1	1	200420	Carin	407512
a-man'i	01	00.7	00.4	00	- /5 4 0	Heux 2	200510	<u>Leung</u>	70.4	Gonn	1975**
		99.7	69.1	02	S/54.8	100.6		102.2	72.1	95	71.7
	02	69.1	72.8	63	N.A/N.A		70.0	72.1	73.5	/1./	/1. 3
	03	72.8	74.9	C4	N.A/N.A	70.0	76.9	73.5	78.5	/1.3	68
	C4	74.9	73	C5	N.A/N.A	76.9		78.5	//./	68	73.4
	C5	73	60.9	C6	N.A/s		60.9	77.7	62.5	73.4	62.1
a-Man2						Lundborg	<u>g 2011'°</u>				
	C1	93.1	69.1	C2	s/N.A	94.9	71.2				
	C2	69.1	72.8	C3	61.5/54.3						
	C3	72.8	74.9	C4	61.5/65.2						
	C4	74.9	73	C5	61.5/65.2						
	C5	73	60.9	C6	54.3/s						
b-Man1						<u>Gorin</u>	1975 ¹²	Lundbo	<u>rg 201116</u>		
	C1	102.1	<u>63.7</u>	C2	s/61.3	101.9	71.2	94.9	71.2		
	C2	<u>63.7</u>	73.4	C3	57.9/56.8	71.2	71.8	71.2	79.3		
	C3	73.4	69.5	C4	54.3/61.5	71.8	68	79.3	66.9		
	C4	69.5	74.1	C5	50.7/56.4	68	73.7	66.9	71.9		
	C5	74.1	66.1	C6	N.A/s	73.7	62.1	71.9	66.8		
Rha1						<u>Habibi</u>	2004 ¹⁷				
	C1	99.6	80.3	C2	30.7/s	101.9	79.3				
	C2	80.3	72	C3	N.A/N.A	79.3	73.7				
	C3	72	70.4	C4	76/54.3	73.7	71.1				
	C4	70.4	68.2	C5	N.A/N.A	71.1	70.4				
	C5	68.2	20.5	C6	83.2/s	70.4	17.8				
Rha2						<u>Habibi</u>	2004 ¹⁷				
	C1	101.1	75.8	C2	41.6/s	99.2	77.5	94.6	72.4		
	C2	75.8	73.2	C3	N.A/N.A	77.5	70.2	72.4	73.8		
	C3	73.2	71.6	C4	72.4/76	70.2	71.3	73.8	72.9		
	C4	71.6	69.4	C5	64.2/54.3	71.3	69.8	72.9	73.1		
	C5	69.4	20.9	C6	N.A/s	69.8	17.5	73.1	18		
Rha3						Bradbur	<u>y 1984⁶ </u>				
	C5	69	20.8	C6	41.6/s	69.4	18				
Rha4						<u> Phyo 2</u>	017b ²¹				
	C5	69.9	17.3	C6	81.1/s	69.7	17.3				
Rha5						<u>Habibi</u>	2004 ¹⁷				
	C5	67.7	19.5	C6	65.7/s	67.8	17.5				
Rha6						<u> Phyo 2</u>	017a ²²				
	C5	66.6	20.2	C6	20.2/s	68.7	17.8				
Rha7						Phyo 2	017a ²²				
	C5	67.6	17.3	C6	37.3/s	67.9	16.8				
Rha8						Habibi	2004 ¹⁷				
	C5	67.3	16.8	C6	37.3/s	67.8	17.5				
Xyl1						Kana 2	2019 ²³	Wana	2014 ¹³		
-	C1	103.9	71.5	C2	s/N.A	105.1	72.5	102.4	73.9		
	C2	71.5	70.1	C3	61.5/57	72.5	73.5	73.9	76.7		
	C3	70.1	73.4	C4	57.9/61.5	73.5	82.3	76.7	74.6		
	C4	73.4	61.3	C5	72.4/s	82.3	64.6	74.6	63.6		
Xyl2			-			Kana 2	2019 ²³	Wana	2014 ¹³		

	C1	104	71.6	C2	s/83.3	105.1	72.5	102.4	73.9
	C2	71.6	73.2	C3	72.4/76	72.5	73.5	73.9	76.7
	C3	73.2	67.6	C4	27/47.9	73.5	82.3	76.7	70.2
	C4	67.6	63.6	C5	N.A/s	82.3	64.6	70.2	66
Xyl3						<u>Kang 2</u>	2019 ²³	Wang	2014 ¹³
	C1	103.9	71.5	C2	s/83.3	105.1	72.5	102.4	73.9
	C2	71.5	73.4	C3	72.4/76	72.5	73.5	73.9	76.7
	C3	73.4	69.3	C4	54.3/61.5	73.5	82.3	76.7	70.2
	C4	69.3	62.4	C5	83.2/s	82.3	64.6	70.2	66
Xyl-2fold4						<u>Kang 2</u>	2019 ²³		
	C1	103.9	71.5	C2	s/83.3	105.1	72.5		
	C2	71.5	73.5	C3	72.4/76	72.5	73.5		
	C3	73.5	84.9	C4	N.A/N.A	73.5	82.3		
	C4	84.9	61.6	C5	61.5/s	82.3	64.6		
Xyl-2fold5						<u>Vignon</u>	1998 ²⁴		
	C1	102.5	76.2	C2	s/50.4	103	74		
	C2	76.2	75.3	C3	66.3/55.7	74	75		
	C3	75.3	81.3	C4	68.8/76.0	75	77.8		
	C4	81.3	67.5	C5	N.A/s	77.8	64.1		
Xyl-2fold6						<u>Kang 2</u>	<u>2019²³</u>	Vignor	<u>1998²⁴ 1998</u>
	C1	104.8	72	C2	s/N.A	105.1	72.5	98.8	72.6
	C2	72	75.8	C3	76/57.9	72.5	73.5	72.6	78.1
	C3	75.8	82	C4	53.3/54.3	73.5	82.3	78.1	83.5
	C4	82	61.8	C5	79.6/s	82.3	64.6	83.5	73.6
Ac-Met1									
	Met1	174.4	21.2	Ac1	52.5/65.7				
Ac-Met2									
	Met2	173.8	20.8	Ac2	55.8/70.3				

Table S6. Tentative assignment of ambiguous ¹³**C chemical shifts or spin systems.** Not all spin pairs have been assigned in *P. beijerinckii* and reported in Table S4 and S5. Nevertheless, these unknown resonances are still reported below, and some are tentatively assigned and compared to literature values from the CCMRD database. The values with a best match with the literature are given in bold. The "s" indicate the peaks that are not splitted and are singlet.

Glycan units		SQ	SQ		¹ Jcc (Hz)	z) reference 1		refer	ence 2	refer	ence 3
Unk1 - Gal?						Phyo 2	017a ²²	Hantus	s 1997 ²⁵		
	C1	98.7	64.9	C2	s/N.A	105.2	72.8	99.6	69.6		
	C2	64.9	74	C3	N.A/N.A	72.8	74.3	69.6	70.2		
	C3	74	77.9	C4	N.A/N.A	74.3	78.5	70.2	70		
	C4	77.9	70.7	C5	N.A/N.A	78.5	75.3	70	71.5		
	C5	70.7	61.3	C6	s/N.A.	75.3	61.7	71.5	62		
Unk2 - Gal?						<u>Phyo 2</u>	017a ²²	<u>Rondeau</u>	<u>I-М. 2008⁸</u>	<u>Gorin</u>	1975 ¹²
	C1	95.1	72.3	C2	s/N.A.	105.2	72.8	105.5	72.9	93.6	69.8
	C2	72.3	75.6	C3	N.A/N.A	72.8	74.3	72.9	74.4	69.8	70.6
	C3	75.6	77.5	C4	N.A/N.A	74.3	78.5	74.4	78.8	70.6	70.6
	C4	77.5	77.3	C5	N.A/N.A	78.5	75.3	78.8	75.7	70.6	71.7
	C5	77.3	68.3	C6	s/N.A.	75.3	61.7	75.7	61.6	71.7	62.5
Unk3 - Gal?						<u>Hantus</u>	<u>1997²⁵</u>	Arnole	<u>d 2015⁹</u>		
	C1	88.4	74.1	C2	s/N.A.	99.6	69.6	103.4	73		
	C2	74.1	69.5	C3	N.A/N.A	69.6	70.2	73	71.1		
	C3	69.5	75.6	C4	N.A/N.A	70.2	70	71.1	75.3		
	C4	75.6	72.1	C5	N.A/N.A	70	71.5	75.3	69.7		
	C5	72.1	61.3	C6	s/N.A.	71.5	62				
Unk4 - Ara?						<u>Wang</u>	<u>2014¹³</u>	<u>Phyo</u> 2	<u>2017a²²</u>		
	C3	71.0	86.1	C4	N.A/N.A	72.6	85.7	84.9	62.1		
	C4	86.1	62.1	C5	N.A/N.A						
Unk5 - Met-Ara?				~ ~		Gorin	<u>1975'</u>				
	C1	102.2	71	C2	s/N.A.	101	69.4				
	C2	/1.2	67.2	C3	N.A/N.A	69.4	68.9				
	03	67	/1.6	C4	N.A/N.A	68.9	70				
	C4	71.3	63	C5	N.A/N.A	70	63.8				
Unko - Ara?	C1	,	,	00		<u>wang</u>	<u>2014'°</u>				
		1	76.0	02	S/IN.A.	110	02.2 77.0				
	02	02 76 0	/0.0 00	C3		02.2 77.0	02 II				
	C4	82	63.2	C5		83	64				
link7 - Ara?	04	02	05.2	00	IN. AVIN. A	Wana	201113				
	C1	1	/	C2	s/N A	107.3	87.8				
	C2	, 62 1	73.6	C3	N A/N A	87.8	72.6				
	C3	73.6	83.7	C4	N A/N A	72.6	85.7				
	C4	83.7	61.5	C5	N.A/N.A	85.7	62.4				
Unk8 - Ara?						Wang	2014 ¹³				
	C1	/	/	C2	s/N.A.	107.1	89.8				
	C2	/	/	C3	N.A/N.A	89.8	76.8				
	C3	77.8	78	C4	N.A/N.A	76.8	78.6				
	C4	/	/	C5	s/N.A.	78.6	70.7				
Unk9 - Glc?						Laguri	2018 ¹¹				
	C1	90.1	74.3	C2	s/N.A.	103.3	74.3				
	C2	74.3	70.1	C3		74.3	70.8				
Unk10 - Glc?						Kang	201814				

	C1	/	/	C2	s/N.A.	101.2	70.1		
	C2	/	/	C3	N.A/N.A	70.1	84.5		
	C3	/	/	C4	N.A/N.A	84.5	67.7		
	C4	67.2	71.2	C5	N.A/N.A	67.7	71.5		
	C5	71.3	60.1	C6	s/N.A.	71.5	60.5		
Unk11 - Rha?						<u>Tan 2</u>	010 ¹⁸		
	C1	98.6	68.8	C2	s/N.A.	100.7	70.5		
	C2	68.8	70.2	C3	N.A/N.A	70.5	70.6		
Unk12									
	C1	97.1	73.2	C2	s/N.A.				
	C2	73.2	67.6	C3	N.A/N.A				
	C3	67.6	70.1	C4	N.A/N.A				
	C4	70.1	64	C5	s/N.A.				
Unk13									
	C1	105.3	63.6	C2	N.A/N.A				
	C2	63.6	72.6	C3	N.A/N.A				
Unk14									
		104.4	62.1		s/N.A.				
Unk15 - Gal?						<u>Phyo 2</u>	<u>017a²²</u>		
		98.6	67.7		s/N.A.	98.4	68.8		
Unk16 - Ara?						<u>Gorin</u>	<u>1975¹²</u>	<u>Rondeau-M. 2008</u> ⁸	
		106.3	73.5		s/N.A.	105.1	71.8	105.5 72.9	
Unk17 - Xyl?		~~ -				<u>Hollman</u>	<u>12009</u> 20	<u>Gorin 1975¹²</u>	
		68.7	66.2		N.A/N.A	69.3	65.4	69.4 67.3	
Unk18 - GIC?		74				<u>Ptetter</u>	<u>1984''</u>		
		71	64.4		N.A/N.A	/1.6	63.7		
Unk19 - GIC?		00 F	04.0			Kang 2	<u>2018'</u>		
		69.5	61.3		N.A/N.A	/1./	60.5	Q_{2} with $AQZC^{12}$	11
UNK20 - Man?		74 4	со <i>г</i>			<u>Marchessa</u>	<u>auit 1990²⁷</u>	<u>Gorin 1975'</u>	<u>Hantus 199720</u>
Unk21		/1.4	03.5		in.a/in.a	70.9	02.9	/1./ 02.5	12.1 02.1
UTIKZI		00.0	71.0						
		99.9	71.9		5/IN.A.				

Table S7. **Details of the different methods used for quantification. Method 1** is the averaged carbon intensity for one type of glycan (see Equation 1). It considers the carbon numbers integrated. For each spin system, we considered the non-overlapping and the same type of glycan overlapping peaks, and averaged them, then we summed these averages according to the glycan type. **Method 2** uses **method 1**, normalizing the integrals by the number of spin systems assigned for a particular glycan (see Equation 2). Integrals are compared with quantitative mass spectrometry, **method 2** being the one considering the spin system number and, therefore, being the most accurate.

					Method 1		Method 2			MS				
		Σ(int)	Σ(int) COR.ª	nC no overlap	nC overlap	tot. nC	int/nC	%int/nC	%int/nC COR.ª	x spin system	(int/ncarbon)* x	%norm	%norm COR.ª	
ĥ	overall	1.1E+09	3.7E+09	42	10	52	7.1E+07	30.7	60.8	7	4.9E+08	43.6	73.0	
tarc	polysaccharide	8.5E+08	3.0E+09	28	4	32	9.3E+07	21.6	43.4	4	3.7E+08	33.4	56.6	
Ś	monosac./end-group	2.0E+08	6.9E+08	14	6	20	3.5E+07	8.0	16.1	3	1.0E+08	9.3	15.7	85
	overall	1.7E+08	1.7E+08	14	0	14	1.2E+07	18.4	10.4	4	4.8E+07	14.9	7.1	
ទី	polysaccharide	1.1E+08	1.1E+08	10	0	10	1.1E+07	8.7	5.0	3	3.2E+07	10.1	4.9	
	monosac./end-group	6.2E+07	6.2E+07	4	0	4	1.6E+07	12.6	7.3	1	1.6E+07	4.9	2.4	
_	overall	2.3E+08	2.3E+08	12	0	12	1.9E+07	29.3	16.6	3	5.8E+07	17.8	8.5	
Gal	polysaccharide	1.4E+08	1.4E+08	8	0	8	1.7E+07	14.1	8.1	2	3.5E+07	10.9	5.3	8.2
	monosac./end-group	9.2E+07	9.2E+07	4	0	4	2.3E+07	18.6	10.7	1	2.3E+07	7.2	3.5	
	overall	1.3E+08	1.3E+08	28	0	28	4.8E+06	7.3	4.1	8	3.8E+07	11.8	5.6	
Rh	polysaccharide	1.3E+08	1.3E+08	28	0	28	4.8E+06	3.9	2.2	8	3.8E+07	12.0	5.8	3.7
	monosac./end-group	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0	0	0	0.0E+00	0.0	0.0	0	0.0E+00	0.0	0.0	
~	overall	1.3E+08	1.3E+08	20	8	28	4.5E+06	6.8	3.9	3	1.3E+07	4.2	2.0	
Mar	polysaccharide	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	16	2	18	5.6E+06	4.6	2.6	2	1.1E+07	3.5	1.7	1.4
	monosac./end-group	2.5E+07	2.5E+07	4	6	10	2.5E+06	2.0	1.1	1	2.5E+06	0.8	0.4	
_	overall	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	28	10	38	2.7E+06	4.1	2.3	6	1.6E+07	5.0	1.4	
X	polysaccharide	1.0E+08	1.0E+08	28	10	38	2.7E+06	2.2	1.2	6	1.6E+07	5.0	1.4	1.1
	monosac./end-group	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0	0	0	0.0E+00	0.0	0.0	0	0.0E+00	0.0	0.0	
_	overall	5.9E+07	5.9E+07	16	10	26	2.3E+06	3.5	2.0	4	9.1E+06	2.8	1.3	
Ara	polysaccharide	5.0E+07	5.0E+07	12	10	22	2.3E+06	1.8	1.1	3	6.8E+06	2.1	1.0	0.8
-	monosac./end-group	9.3E+06	9.3E+06	4	0	4	2.3E+06	1.9	1.1	1	2.3E+06	0.7	0.4	

correcting factor^a 3.50

^a A correction factor of 3.50 (obtained from the 1D spectra presented in Figure S8) was applied to the starch intensities.

Table S8. ¹³**C raw integrals used for quantification of** *P. beijerinckii* **polysaccharides.** As mentioned in the main text, integrals are color-coded in red and orange according to the type of overlap: overlaps between different types of glycans are in red while overlaps among the same kind of glycan are in orange. "p" and "m" are used to differentiate polysaccharide and monosaccharide/end-groups, respectively. To differentiate those two types of glycan units, we used the carbon 1 chemical shift and CCMRD database.

21	6.							
	peak int.		SQ	SQ		peak int.	av. unit	mono./poly.
starch a	1.8E+07	C1	101.3	73.9	C2	2.0E+07	1.39E+07	р
	1.3E+07	C2	73.9	75.4	C3	1.6E+06		
	3.7E+05	C3	75.4	76.6	C4	2.8E+06		
	1.6E+07	C4	76.6	70.5	C5	1.4E+07		
	1.6E+07	C5	70.5	62.7	C6	1.3E+07		
starch b	1.1E+08	C1	100.2	72.4	C2	9.2E+07	3.96E+07	р
	7.3E+07	C2	72.4	74	C3	7.4E+07		
	4.3E+07	C3	74	77.7	C4	3.5E+07		
	4.0E+07	C4	77.7	71.8	C5	3.9E+07		
	4.7E+07	C5	71.8	61.3	C6	7.2E+07		
starch c	2.3E+07	C1	99	72	C2	1.1E+07	1.48E+07	р
	7.3E+06	C2	72	76	C3	1.6E+07		
	1.3E+07	C3	76	74.7	C4	1.8E+07		
	1.2E+07	C4	74.7	70.9	C5	1.2E+07		
	1.1E+07	C5	70.9	62	C6	1.8E+07		
starch-as-Glc1	4.6E+07	C1	96.6	75	C2	2.9E+07	3.75E+07	р
	3.7E+05	C2	75	76.6	C3	2.8E+06		-
	2.0E+07	C3	76.6	70.4	C4	1.7E+07		
	1.6E+07	C4	70.4	72	C5	1.6E+07		
	4.7E+07	C5	72	61.4	C6	7.2E+07		
starch-as-Glc2	3.1E+07	C1	92.7	72.4	C2	2.0E+07	7.23E+06	m
	7.3E+06	C2	72.4	75.4	C3	1.6E+07		
	5.0E+06	C3	75.4	77.6	C4	8.3E+05		
	5.1E+06	C4	77.6	76.8	C5	7.3E+06		
	2.1E+07	C5	76.8	61.5	C6	3.0E+07		
starch-end1	1.1E+08	C1	100.3	72.3	C2	9.2E+07	2.05E+07	m
	7.3E+07	C2	72.3	73.7	C3	7.4E+07		
	3.7E+07	C3	73.7	70.1	C4	2.7E+07		
	1.6E+07	C4	70.1	73.5	C5	1.6E+07		
	1.2E+07	C5	73.5	61.3	C6	3.8E+07		
starch-end2	1.1E+08	C1	100.2	72.4	C2	9.2E+07	1.45E+07	m
	4.2E+07	C2	72.4	74	C3	3.3E+07		
	2.1E+07	C3	74	77.7	C4	1.7E+07		
	6.4E+06	C4	77.9	75.1	C5	7.0E+06		
	1.5E+07	C5	75.1	61.4	C6	2.1E+07		
Glucan 1		C1	/	/	C2		2.21E+07	p
		C2	/	/	C3			•
		C3	/	/	C4			
	7.2E+06	C4	69.4	75.7	C5	8.1E+06		
	2.9E+07	C5	75.7	61.7	C6	4.4E+07		
Glucan 2	6.0E+06	C1	104	70.1	C2	8.9E+06	3.84E+06	p
	3.8E+06	C2	70.1	82.4	C3	3.9E+06		•
	2.8E+06	C3	82.4	64.8	C4	3.5E+06		
	6.6E+06	C4	64.8	74.1	C5	3.5E+06		
	6.6E+06	C5	74.1	64.8	C6	3.5E+06		
Glucan3	5.2E+05	C1	102.7	73.7	C2	2.1E+06	2.81E+06	р

	4.3E+06	C2	73.7	67.1	C3	4.3E+06		
Glucose1	6.0E+06	C1	94.6	71.7	C2	4.7E+06	1.56E+07	т
	2.4E+07	C2	71.7	73.4	C3	2.7E+07		
	2.7E+07	C3	73.4	69.4	C4	4.2E+07		
	2.7E+07	C4	69.4	73.4	C5	4.2E+07		
	1.8E+07	C5	73.4	61.4	C6	3.8E+07		
Ara1	6.4E+06	C1	107.9	81.7	C2	3.8E+06	5.10E+06	p
	2.4E+06	C2	81.7	77.8	C3	2.9E+06		
	2.5E+06	C3	77.8	82.2	C4	1.6E+06		
	3.4E+06	C4	82.2	64.7	C5	3.4E+06		
Ara2	6.4E+06	C1	108	81.7	C2	3.8E+06	0	p
	4.1E+06	C2	81.7	75.2	C3	4.3E+06		
	4.1E+06	C3	75.2	81.3	C4	4.3E+06		
	8.6E+06	C4	81.3	63.1	C5	1.4E+07		
Ara3		C1	/	/	C2		7.47E+06	p
	8.7E+06	C2	89	74.8	C3	6.3E+06		
	4.1E+06	C3	74.8	81.6	C4	4.3E+06		
	8.6E+06	C4	81.6	63	C5	1.4E+07		
Ara4		C1	/	/	C2		2.33E+06	т
	5.1E+06	C2	78	77	C3	7.3E+06		
	2.3E+06	C3	77	83	C4	2.7E+06		
	2.1E+06	C4	83	71	C5	2.1E+06		
Gal1	6.0E+06	C1	104	70.3	C2	8.9E+06	2.52E+07	p
	9.0E+06	C2	70.3	72.2	C3	8.3E+06		
	3.4E+07	C3	72.2	76.3	C4	4.7E+07		
	2.0E+07	C4	76.3	70.5	C5	1.7E+07		
	8.7E+06	C5	70.5	61.3	C6	1.1E+07		
Gal2	2.24E+07	C1	98.4	72.2	C2	2.08E+07	2.30E+07	т
	4.2E+07	C2	72.2	73.9	C3	3.2E+07		
	2.2E+07	C3	73.9	77.9	C4	1.9E+07		
	2.5E+06	C4	77.9	76.7	C5	3.1E+06		
	2.1E+07	C5	76.7	61.6	C6	3.0E+07		
Gal3	6.0E+06	C1	104	70.2	C2	8.9E+06	9.63E+06	p
	4.0E+06	C2	70.3	82.4	C3	3.4E+06		
	2.8E+06	C3	82.4	65.1	C4	3.5E+06		
	6.6E+06	C4	65.1	74	C5	3.5E+06		
	1.7E+07	C5	74	70	C6	1.4E+07		
a-Man1	9.8E+06	C1	99.7	69.1	C2	7.4E+06	8.63E+06	p
	5.4E+06	C2	69.1	72.8	C3	5.2E+06		
	9.1E+06	C3	72.8	74.9	C4	1.0E+07		
	1.0E+07	C4	74.9	73	C5	9.1E+06		
	1.3E+06	C5	73	60.9	C6	5.2E+06		
a-Man2	2.8E+06	C1	93.1	69.1	C2	2.1E+06	2.47E+06	т
	5.4E+06	C2	69.1	72.8	C3	5.2E+06		
	9.1E+06	C3	72.8	74.9	C4	1.0E+07		
	1.0E+07	C4	74.9	73	C5	9.1E+06		
	1.3E+06	C5	73	60.9	C6	5.2E+06		
b-Man1	7.0E+06	C1	102.1	63.7	C2	1.0E+07	5.91E+06	p
	3.7E+06	C2	63.7	73.4	C3	1.8E+06		
	2.7E+07	C3	73.4	69.5	C4	4.2E+07		
	1.4E+07	C4	69.5	74.1	C5	8.7E+06		
	8.2E+05	C5	74.1	66.1	C6	5.0E+05		
Rha1	3.3E+06	C1	99.6	80.3	C2	3.3E+06	2.64E+06	р

	1.5E+06	C2	80.3	72	C3	2.6E+06		
	7.5E+06	C3	72	70.4	C4	6.8E+06		
	3.8E+06	C4	70.4	68.2	C5	5.0E+06		
	5.5E+05	C5	68.2	20.5	C6	1.1E+06		
Rha2	1.6E+06	C1	101.1	75.8	C2	2.6E+06	4.55E+06	p
	1.6E+06	C2	75.8	73.2	C3	5.4E+05		
	2.0E+07	C3	73.2	71.6	C4	1.8E+07		
	3.9E+06	C4	71.6	69.4	C5	4.8E+06		
	4.7E+06	C5	69.4	20.9	C6	1.7E+07		
Rha3	2.9E+06	C5	69	20.8	C6	1.7E+07	9.76E+06	р
Rha4	9.5E+06	C5	69.9	17.3	C6	1.9E+07	1.42E+07	p
Rha5	4.9E+06	C5	67.7	19.5	C6	6.2E+06	5.51E+06	p
Rha6	1.2E+06	C5	66.6	20.2	C6	2.6E+06	1.92E+06	p
Rha7	2.2E+06	C5	67.6	17.3	C6	3.6E+06	2.90E+06	p
Rha8	1.5E+06	C5	67.3	16.8	C6	6.3E+06	3.91E+06	p
Xyl1	1.7E+06	C1	103.9	71.5	C2	1.3E+06	0	p
-	7.1E+06	C2	71.5	70.1	C3	4.9E+06		•
	2.7E+07	C3	70.1	73.4	C4	3.7E+07		
	1.8E+07	C4	73.4	61.3	C5	3.8E+07		
Xyl2	1.7E+06	C1	104	71.6	C2	1.3E+06	3.77E+06	a
,	4.4E+06	C2	71.6	73.2	C3	7.2E+06		r
	4.8E+06	C3	73.2	67.6	C4	1.3E+06		
	4.0E+06	C4	67.6	63.6	C5	5.0E+06		
XvI3	1.7E+06	C1	103.9	71.5	C2	1.3E+06	6.96E+06	D
	2.0F+07	C2	71.5	73.4	C3	1.8F+07	5.002.00	٣
	2.7F+07	C3	73.4	69.3	C.4	4.2F+07		
	8 1F+06	C4	69.3	62.4	C5	5.8E+06		
XvI-2fold4	1.7F±06	C.1	103 Q	71 5	C.2	1.3F+06	4 65E+06	n
	4.4F+06	C2	71.5	73.5	C3	7.2F+06	1.002100	٣
	2 7F+06	C3	73.5	84 Q	C.4	1.3E+06		
	6 0F±06	C.4	84 Q	61.6	C5	8.6F±06		
XvI-2fold5	2 4 = 106	C1	102.5	76.2	C2	2 7E±06	3 16E±06	n
Ay1-210103	2.4L+00 7 1E±06	C2	76.2	75.2	C2	2.1 L+00 2 1 E±06	5.102700	٢
	275-06	C2	75.2	1 J.J 81 2	C3	2.4ETUU 2.0EJ 06		
	2.1 =+00	C3	10.0 Q1 2	67.5	04 C5			
Yvl-2folde	3.3E+00	C4	104 0	07.0	C0	1.12+00	2 21 E . 06	n
791-210100	2.9E+00		70	1 Z 75 0	C2	4.00+00	2.312+00	μ
	1 25.00	C2		۵.C ۱ م	C3	1.0C+U/		
	1.3E+00	C3	٥.C /	o∠ 61.0	04 07	1.10+00		
A a Matt	7.9E+05	04 Mata	82	01.0	05	2.90+00	4.005.07	
AC-IVIET1	9.0E+06	IVIEt1	1/4.4	21.2	AC1	1.2E+07	1.06E+07	p
AC-IVIET2	9.5E+06	iviet2	173.8	20.8	AC2	2.0E+07	1.48E+07	<u>р</u>
UNK1 - Gal?	1.2E+07	U1	98.7	64.9	C2	2.2E+07	9.90E+06	m
	6.6E+06	02	64.9	/4 77.0	03	3.5E+06		
	2.2E+07	C3	74	77.9	C4	1.9E+07		
	3.5E+06	C4	77.9	70.7	C5	8.2E+06		
	2.3E+06	C5	70.7	61.3	C6	1.1E+07		
Unk2 - Gal?	4.4E+06	C1	95.1	72.3	C2	2.5E+06	3.00E+06	т
	7.3E+06	C2	72.3	75.6	C3	1.6E+07		
	5.0E+06	C3	75.6	77.5	C4	8.3E+05		
	2.5E+06	C4	77.5	77.3	C5	4.2E+06		
	3.8E+06	C5	77.3	68.3	C6	1.3E+06		
Unk3 - Gal?	6.3E+06	C1	88.4	74.1	C2	4.0E+06	1.99E+07	m
	1.7E+07	C2	74.1	69.5	C3	1.4E+07		

	7.2E+06	C3	69.5 75.6	75.6 72.1	C4	8.1E+06		
		C4 C5	70.0	72.1 61.2		7.3E+00		
Unkt Aro?	4.7E+07	C3	72.1	01.3		1.20+01	9 425 106	m
Ulik4 - Ald?	0.4E+00 9.7E+06	C3	7 I 86 05	62.05	C4 C5	4.2E+00 1 3E+07	0.420+00	m
Unk5 - Met- Ara?	4.3E+06	C1	102.2	71	C2	2.2E+06	2.26E+07	p
	1.1E+07	C2	71.2	67.2	C3	3.6E+07		
	3.6E+07	C3	67	71.6	C4	1.6E+07		
	3.3E+07	C4	71.3	63	C5	4.3E+07		
Unk6 - Ara?		C1	/	/	C2		2.24E+06	т
	2.7E+06	C2	82	76.8	C3	2.3E+06		
	2.3E+06	C3	76.8	82	C4	2.7E+06		
	1.5E+06	C4	82	63.2	C5	1.8E+06		
Unk7 - Ara?		C1	/	/	C2		2.42E+06	т
	4.7E+06	C2	62.1	73.6	C3	2.6E+06		
	2.7E+06	C3	73.6	83.7	C4	1.3E+06		
	1.5E+06	C4	83.7	61.5	C5	1.7E+06		
Unk8 - Ara?		C1	/	/	C2		9.21E+05	т
		C2	/	/	C3			
	9.2E+05	C3	77.8	78	C4	9.2E+05		
		C4	/	/	C5			
Unk9 - Glc?	8.0E+06	C1	90.1	74.3	C2	5.4E+06	2.49E+07	m
	2.4E+07	C2	74.3	70.1	C3	6.2E+07		
Unk10 - Glc?		C1	/	/	C2		1.25E+07	m
		C2	/	/	C3			
		C3	/	/	C4			
	3.6E+07	C4	67.2	71.2	C5	1.1E+07		
	1.1E+06	C5	71.3	60.1	C6	2.3E+06		
Unk11 - Rha?	1.2E+07	C1	98.6	68.8	C2	1.2E+07	7.21E+06	т
	3.8E+06	C2	68.8	70.2	C3	8.9E+05		
Unk12	6.6E+06	C1	97.1	73.2	C2	2.5E+06	4.84E+06	т
	4.8E+06	C2	73.2	67.6	C3	2.3E+02		
	9.5E+05	03	67.6	70.1	C4	1.7E+06		
	8.3E+06	C4	70.1	64	C5	1.4E+07	0.005.05	
Unk13	1.9E+06	01	105.3	63.6	02	2.9E+06	2.30E+07	р
	5.2E+07	02	63.6	72.6	03	3.6E+07	0.045.00	
Unk14	2.6E+06	Unk14	104.4	62.1	Unk14	4.1E+06	3.34E+06	p
Unk15 - Gal?	2.3E+06	UNK15	98.6	67.7	UNK15	3.1E+06	2.68E+06	p
Unk16 - Ara?	1.9E+06	UNK16	106.3	73.5	UNK16	3.1E+06	2.51E+06	p
Unk17 - Xyl?	7.1E+05	UNK17	68.7	00.2	UNK17	1.72+06	1.21E+06	m
UNK18 - GIC?	2.7 = +02		/1 60 5	04.4			1.740+05	m
Unk19 - Gic?	2.1E+06	Unk19	69.5	61.3	Unk19	1.2E+06	1.67 E+06	m
Unk20 - Man?	1.4±+07	Unk20	/1.4	63.5 74 0	UNK20	9.85+06	1.1/E+0/	m
UNK21	1.2E+07	Unk21	99.9	71.9	Unk21	2.7E+06	7.16E+06	р

Table S9. List of correlations found in the different experiments. CP-INADEQUATE experiments allow good chemical shift dispersion and detect only rigid molecules. Peaks detected in the SQ experiments: CORD, which identify short-range correlations in molecules with long T_2s ; PAR, which correspond to intramolecular through space correlations; RFDR, which detects intra- and intermolecular trough-space correlations and (PAR-0.4*CORD)) which single-out intermolecular through space contacts.

starch a 101.3 73.9 C1/C2 x x x x 75.4 73.9 C3/C2 x x x x 76.6 75.4 C4/C3 x x x x 76.6 70.5 C4/C5 x x x x	
75.473.9C3/C2xxx76.675.4C4/C3xxxx76.670.5C4/C5xxxx	
76.6 75.4 C4/C3 x x x x 76.6 70.5 C4/C5 x x x x	
76.6 70.5 C4/C5 x x x x	
70.5 62.7 C5/C6 x x x	
starch b 100.2 72.4 C1/C2 x x x x	
74.0 72.4 C3/C2 x x x	
77.7 74.0 C4/C3 x x x	
77.7 71.8 C4/C5 x x x	
71.8 61.3 C5/C6 x x x x	
starch c 99.0 72.0 C1/C2 x x x x	
76.0 72.0 C3/C2 x x x x	
76.0 74.7 C3/C4 x x	
74.7 70.9 C4/C5 x x x x	
70.9 62.0 C5/C6 x x x x	
starch-as-Glc1 96.6 75.0 C1/C2 x	
76.6 75.0 C3/C2 x x	
76.6 70.4 C3/C4 x x x x	
72.0 70.4 C5/C4 x x x	
72.0 61.4 C5/C6 x x x x	
starch-as-Glc2 92.7 72.4 C1/C2	
75.4 72.4 C3/C2 x x x x	
77.6 75.4 C4/C3 x	
77.6 76.8 C4/C5	
76.8 61.5 C5/C6 x x	
starch-end1 100.3 72.3 C1/C2 x x x x	
73.7 72.3 C3/C2 x x x	
73.7 70.1 C3/C4 x x x	
73.5 70.1 C5/C4 x x x x	
73.5 61.3 C5/C6 x x x x	
starch-end2 100.2 72.4 C1/C2 x x x	
74.0 72.4 C3/C2 x x x x	
77.7 74.0 C4/C3 x x x	
77.9 75.1 C4/C5 x	
75.1 61.4 C5/C6 X X X	
Giucan 1 /5./ 69.4 C5/C4	
/5./ 61./ C5/C6 X	
Siucan 2 104.0 70.1 01/02	
$\delta 2.4 70.1 0.3/0.2$	
(4.1 04.0 05/00	
73 7 67 1 C3/C2	

Glucose1	94.6	71.7	C1/C2					
	73.4	71.7	C3/C2		х	х		
	73.4	69.4	C3/C4			х		
	73.4	69.4	C5/C4			x		
	73.4	61.4	C5/C6	x	х	x		
Ara1	107.9	81.7	C1/C2					
	81 7	77.8	C2/C3					
	82.2	77.8	C4/C3					
	82.2	64.7	C4/C5					
Ara?	108.0	81 7	C1/C2					
Aluz	81 7	75.2	C2/C3					
	81 3	75.2	C4/C3					
	01.J 01.2	62.1	C4/C5					
Aro?	01.5	74 0	C2/C2					
Aldo	09	74.0	C2/C3					
	01.0	74.0	C4/C5					
A	01.0	03						
Ara4	78	77	02/03					
	83	//	04/03					
0.14	83	71	04/05					
Gan	704	70.3	01/02					
	72.2	70.3	C3/C2	x		X		
	76.3	72.2	04/03	X	Х	Х		
	76.3	70.5	04/05	X	Х	Х		
a 1a	70.5	61.3	05/06	х		Х		
Gal2	98.4	72.2	01/02					
	73.9	72.2	03/02					
	77.9	73.9	C4/C3					
	77.9	76.7	C4/C5					
- ··-	/6./	61.6	C5/C6					
Gal3	104	70.2	C1/C2	х		х		
	82.4	70.3	C3/C2		Х	х		
	82.4	65.1	C3/C4					
	74	65.1	C5/C4		х			
	74	70	C5/C6					
a-Man1	99.7	69.1	C1/C2					
	72.8	69.1	C3/C2	х				
	74.9	72.8	C4/C3	х		х		
	74.9	73	C4/C5	х		х		
	73	60.9	C5/C6	х		Х		
a-Man2	93.1	69.1	C1/C2					
	72.8	69.1	C3/C2	х				
	74.9	72.8	C4/C3	х		Х		
	74.9	73	C4/C5	х		х		
	73	60.9	C5/C6	х		Х		
b-Man1	102.1	63.7	C1/C2					
	73.4	63.7	C3/C2		х			
	73.4	69.5	C3/C4			х		
	74.1	69.5	C5/C4					
	74.1	66.1	C5/C6					
Rha1	99.6	80.3	C1/C2					
	80.3	72	C2/C3					
	72	70.4	C3/C4	х		х		
	70.4	68.2	C4/C5					

	68.2	20.5	C5/C6						
Rha2	101.1	75.8	C1/C2			х			
	75.8	73.2	C2/C3	х					
	73.2	71.6	C3/C4			х			
	71.6	69.4	C4/C5	х					
	69.4	20.9	C5/C6						
Rha3	69	20.8	C5/C6						
Rha4	69.9	17.3	C5/C6						
Rha5	67.7	19.5	C5/C6						
Rha6	66.6	20.2	C5/C6						
Rha7	67.6	17.3	C5/C6						
Rha8	67.3	16.8	C5/C6						
Xyl1	103.9	71.5	C1/C2						
	71.5	70.1	C2/C3	х		х			
	73.4	70.1	C4/C3						
	73.4	61.3	C4/C5						
Xvl2	104	71.6	C1/C2						
,	73.2	71.6	C3/C2			х			
	73.2	67.6	C3/C4						
	67.6	63.6	C4/C5						
XvI3	103.9	71.5	C1/C2						
	73.4	71.5	C3/C2			х			
	73.4	69.3	C3/C4			x			
	69.3	62.4	C4/C5						
XvI-2fold4	103.9	71.5	C1/C2	х					
· · , · _ · · · · · · ·	73.5	71.5	C3/C2			х			
	84.9	73.5	C4/C3	х					
	84.9	61.6	C4/C5	x					
xvl-2fold5	102.5	76.2	C1/C2	х					
,	76.2	75.3	C2/C3	х					
	81.3	75.3	C4/C3	х	х	х			
	81.3	67.5	C4/C5	х		х			
Xvl-2fold6	104.8	72	C1/C2						
	75.8	72	C3/C2	х		х			
	82	75.8	C4/C3						
	82	61.8	C4/C5					х	
Intra. J>1									
Starch a	101.3	75.4	C1a/3a			х	х	х	
	101.3	76.6	C1a/4a			х	х		
	101.3	70.5	C1a/5a			х	х		
	101.3	62.7	C1a/6a			х	х		
	76.6	73.9	C4a/2a				х		
	73.9	70.5	C2a/5a			х	х		
	73.9	62.7	C2a/6a				Х		
	75.4	70.5	C3a/5a			х	Х		
	75.4	62.7	C3a/6a			х	Х		
a	76.6	62.7	C4a/6a			х	Х		
Starch b	100.2	74.0 77 -	C1b/3b			х	х		
	100.2	11.1							
	100.2	/1.8 61.2				X	X	х	
	777	72 /				X	X		
	11.1 70 /	≀∠.4 71 ହ	040/20 C2b/5b			v	X		
	72.4 72 A	71.0 61 3	C2b/6b			x y	x		
	14.7	01.0	520/00			~	~		

	74.0	71.8	C3b/5b	х	()	<
	74.0	61.3	C3b/6b	x	()	<
	77.7	61.3	C4b/6b)	<
Starch c	99.0	76.0	C1c/3c	х	()	K
	99.0	74.7	C1c/4c	х	()	κ
	99.0	70.9	C1c/5c	x	()	(
	99.0	62.0	C1c/6c	x	()	K
	74.7	72.0	C4c/2c	X		κ (
	72.0	70.9	C2c/5c			(
	72.0	62.0	C2c/6c	x	, , ,	k x
	76.0	70.9	C3c/5c	x		(
	76.0	62.0	C3c/6c	X		(
	74 7	62.0	C4c/6c	X		(
Starch-as-Glc1	96.6	76.6	C1/3		. ,	· ·
	06.6	70.0	C1/4			
	90.0 06.6	70.4	C1/5			
	90.0 06.6	61 /	C1/6			
	75.0	70.4	C2/4	v	· 、	,
	75.0	70.4	C2/4	^		
	75.0	61 /	02/0 C2/6	X	· · ·	x /
	76.6	72 0	C2/0	X	·)	
	70.0	61 /	C3/5	×	. ,	
	70.0	61.4	C3/0		, , ,	х х
Starah an Clai	70.4	01.4 75.4	C4/0	х		K X
Starch-as-Gicz	92.7	75.4	C1/3			
	92.7	77.0	01/4			
	92.7	76.8	C1/5			
	92.7	01.5 70.4	C1/6			
	77.0	72.4	04/2		, ,	
	70.8	12.4 61 E	C5/2	x		(,
	72.4	01.3 75.4	CZ/0	x		, ,
	70.0 75.4	75.4 61 F	C0/3	x		, ,
	75.4	61.5	C3/0	X		< C
Starah and 1	100.2	70.5	C4/0			
Starch-end1	100.3	73.7	01/3	X		(
	100.3	70.1	C1/4	Х		<
	100.3	73.5	C1/5	Х		K
	100.3	61.3	C1/6	Х		K
	72.3	70.1	C2/4	Х		K X
	73.5	72.3	C5/2	Х		K
	72.3	61.3	C2/6	х		K
	/3./	73.5	C3/5)	K
	73.7	61.3	C3/6	х		<
	70.1	61.3	C4/6	х		<
Starch-end2	100.2	74.0	C1/3	х	()	κ
	100.2	77.7	C1/4			
	100.2	75.1	C1/5)	K
	100.2	61.4	C1/6	х	()	K
	77.7	72.4	C4/2		>	K
	75.1	72.4	C5/2	х	()	K
	72.4	61.4	C2/6	х	()	κ
	75.1	74.0	C5/3		>	(
	74.0	61.4	C3/6	х	()	(
	77.9	61.4	C4/6)	K
Gal1	76.3	70.3	Gal1-C4/C2	x	· · ·	<
	70.5	70.3	Gal1-C5/C2	Y	r v	(
	10.0		00,02	~	. /	•

	70.3	61.3	Gal1-C2/C6	х	х	
	72.2	70.5	Gal1-C3/C5	х	х	
	72.2	61.3	Gal1-C3/C6	х	х	
	76.3	61.3	Gal1-C4/C6	x	x	
a-Man1/2	73	72.8	a-Man1/2-C5/C3	х	х	
	72.8	60.9	a-Man1/2-C3/C6	х	х	
	74.9	60.9	a-Man1/2-C4/C6	х	x	
XvI-2fold4	103.9	61.6	XvI-2fold4-C1/C5		x	
//j: _:::a:	71.5	61.6	Xyl-2fold4-C2/C5	x	x x	
	75.2	61.6	Xyl-210104-02/05	^ V	×	
Vul OfoldE	100 5	75.2	xy1-210104-C3/C3	x	x	
Xyi-210105	102.5	75.3	xyi-210lu5-C1/C3	X	x	
	81.3	76.2	XyI-210105-04/02		X	
Inter.	101.2	72 /	C1a/2b	v	v	v
Starch	101.3	72.4 99	C1a/20	x	x	x
	101.3	62	C1a/6c	x	x	x
	101.3	70.1	C1a/Starch-end1-C4			х
	75.4	69.4	C3a/Glc1-C4		х	х
	76.6	71.8	C4a/starch-C5b			х
	75	70.5	C5a/Starch-as1-C2	 	x	X
	100.2	70.5	C1b/5a		X	X
	100.2	62.7 75	C1D/C6a C1b/Starch ac1 C2		x	X
	100.2	73.5	C1b/Starch-end1-C5		~	× x
	74	61.4	C3b/starch-as1/6			x
	99	72.4	C1c/2b	 х	x	X
	99	62.7	C1c/6a	х		х
	99	61.3	C1c/6b	х	х	х
	99 75 7	73.5	C1c/Starch-end1-C5		x	X
	/5./	62			X	X
Gal	104	/6.3			X	X
Xyl-2fold	103.9	103	xyl4-C1/xyl5-C1		x	
	103.9	76.2	xyl4-C1/xyl5-C2		х	х
	103.9	75.3	xyl4-C1/xyl5-C3			х
	102.5	71.5	xyl5-C1/xyl4-C2	х	х	х
	76.2	71.5	xyl5-C2/xyl4-C2	х	х	х
	75.3	71.5	xyl5-C3/xyl4-C2	х	х	х
	81.3	71.5	xyl5-C4/xyl4-C2	х		
	76.2	75.3	xyl5-C2/xyl4-C3	х	х	х
	75.3	75.3	xyl4-C3/xyl5-C3	х	х	
	81.3	75.3	xyl5-C4/xyl4-C3		х	х
	102.5	61.6	xyl5-C1/xyl4-C5	х		х
	76.2	61.6	xyl5-C2/xvl4-C5	x	х	x
	75.3	61.6	xyl5-C3/xyl4-C5	x	x	x
	81 3	61.6	xyl5-C4/xyl4-C5		x	
	67 5	61.6	Ayio-O+/Ayi4-OO		^ V	X
	67.5	61.6	xyi5-U5/xyi4-U5		х	Х

References for glycan assignment

- Arnold, A. A.; Genard, B.; Zito, F.; Tremblay, R.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I., Identification of lipid and saccharide constituents of whole microalgal cells by ¹³C solid-state NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 2015, 1848 (1, Part B), 369-377.
- Arnold, A. A.; Bourgouin, J.-P.; Genard, B.; Warschawski, D. E.; Tremblay, R.; Marcotte, I., Whole cell solid-state NMR study of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* microalgae. *J. Biomol. NMR* 2018, *70* (2), 123-131.
- 3. Poulhazan, A.; Arnold, A. A.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I., Unambiguous Ex Situ and in Cell 2D (13)C Solid-State NMR Characterization of Starch and Its Constituents. *Int J Mol Sci* **2018**, *19* (12).
- 4. Rondeau-Mouro, C.; Veronese, G.; Buleon, A., High-Resolution Solid-State NMR of B-Type Amylose. *Biomacromolecules* **2006**, *7* (8), 2455-2460.
- Paris, M.; Bizot, H.; Emery, J.; Buzaré, J. Y.; Buléon, A., Crystallinity and structuring role of water in native and recrystallized starches by ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectroscopy: 1: Spectral decomposition. *Carbohydrate Polymers* **1999**, *39* (4), 327-339.
- 6. Bradbury, J. H.; Jenkins, G. A., Determination of the structures of trisaccharides by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. *Carbohydr Res* **1984**, *126* (1), 125-56.
- 7. Roubroeks, J. P.; Andersson, R.; Mastromauro, D. I.; Christensen, B. E.; Åman, P., Molecular weight, structure and shape of oat $(1 \rightarrow 3), (1 \rightarrow 4)$ β -d-glucan fractions obtained by enzymatic degradation with $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -d-glucan 4-glucanohydrolase from Trichoderma reesei. *Carbohydrate Polymers* **2001**, *46* (3), 275-285.
- 8. Rondeau-Mouro, C.; Buléon, A.; Lahaye, M., Caractérisation par RMN des biopolymères d'origine végétale, de la molécule à l'organisation supramoléculaire. *Comptes Rendus Chimie* **2008**, *11* (4), 370-379.
- Arnold, A. A.; Genard, B.; Zito, F.; Tremblay, R.; Warschawski, D. E.; Marcotte, I., Identification of lipid and saccharide constituents of whole microalgal cells by ¹³C solid-state NMR. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* **2015**, *1848* (1, Part B), 369-377.
- Pfeffer, P. E.; Hicks, K. B.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J.; Earl, W. L., Complete Solid State ¹³C NMR Chemical Shift Assignments for α-D-Glucose, α-D-Glucose-H2O and β-D-Glucose. *Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry* **1984**, 3 (2), 197-217.
- Laguri, C.; Silipo, A.; Martorana, A. M.; Schanda, P.; Marchetti, R.; Polissi, A.; Molinaro, A.; Simorre, J.-P., Solid State NMR Studies of Intact Lipopolysaccharide Endotoxin. ACS Chemical Biology 2018, 13 (8), 2106-2113.
- 12. Gorin, P. A. J.; Mazurek, M., Further Studies on the Assignment of Signals in ¹³C Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Aldoses and Derived Methyl Glycosides. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* **1975**, *53* (8), 1212-1223.
- 13. Wang, T.; Salazar, A.; Zabotina, O. A.; Hong, M., Structure and dynamics of Brachypodium primary cell wall polysaccharides from two-dimensional (13)C solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **2014**, *53* (17), 2840-54.
- 14. Kang, X.; Kirui, A.; Muszyński, A.; Widanage, M. C. D.; Chen, A.; Azadi, P.; Wang, P.; Mentink-Vigier, F.; Wang, T., Molecular architecture of fungal cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nature Communications* **2018**, *9* (1), 2747.
- 15. Dick-Pérez, M.; Zhang, Y.; Hayes, J.; Salazar, A.; Zabotina, O. A.; Hong, M., Structure and Interactions of Plant Cell-Wall Polysaccharides by Two- and Three-Dimensional Magic-Angle-Spinning Solid-State NMR. *Biochemistry* **2011**, *50* (6), 989-1000.
- 16. Lundborg, M.; Widmalm, G., Structural Analysis of Glycans by NMR Chemical Shift Prediction. *Analytical Chemistry* **2011**, *83* (5), 1514-1517.

- 17. Habibi, Y.; Heyraud, A.; Mahrouz, M.; Vignon, M. R., Structural features of pectic polysaccharides from the skin of Opuntia ficus-indica prickly pear fruits. *Carbohydr Res* **2004**, 339 (6), 1119-27.
- Tan, L.; Varnai, P.; Lamport, D. T.; Yuan, C.; Xu, J.; Qiu, F.; Kieliszewski, M. J., Plant O-hydroxyproline arabinogalactans are composed of repeating trigalactosyl subunits with short bifurcated side chains. J Biol Chem 2010, 285 (32), 24575-83.
- 19. Heux, L.; Hagglund, P.; Putaux, J. L.; Chanzy, H., Structural aspects in semicrystalline samples of the mannan II family. *Biomacromolecules* **2005**, *6* (1), 324-332.
- 20. Leung, M. Y. K.; Liu, C.; Zhu, L. F.; Hui, Y. Z.; Yu, B.; Fung, K. P., Chemical and biological characterization of a polysaccharide biological response modifier from Aloe vera L. var. chinensis (Haw.) Berg. *Glycobiology* **2004**, *14* (6), 501-510.
- 21. Phyo, P.; Wang, T.; Xiao, C.; Anderson, C. T.; Hong, M., Effects of Pectin Molecular Weight Changes on the Structure, Dynamics, and Polysaccharide Interactions of Primary Cell Walls of Arabidopsis thaliana: Insights from Solid-State NMR. *Biomacromolecules* **2017**, *18* (9), 2937-2950.
- Phyo, P.; Wang, T.; Kiemle, S. N.; O'Neill, H.; Pingali, S. V.; Hong, M.; Cosgrove, D. J., Gradients in Wall Mechanics and Polysaccharides along Growing Inflorescence Stems *Plant Physiology* **2017**, *175* (4), 1593-1607.
- 23. Kang, X.; Kirui, A.; Dickwella Widanage, M. C.; Mentink-Vigier, F.; Cosgrove, D. J.; Wang, T., Ligninpolysaccharide interactions in plant secondary cell walls revealed by solid-state NMR. *Nature Communications* **2019**, *10* (1), 347.
- Vignon, M. R.; Gey, C., Isolation, 1H and ¹³C NMR studies of (4-O-methyl-d-glucurono)-d-xylans from luffa fruit fibres, jute bast fibres and mucilage of quince tree seeds. *Carbohydrate Research* 1998, 307 (1), 107-111.
- 25. Hantus, S.; Pauly, M.; Darvill, A. G.; Albersheim, P.; York, W. S., Structural characterization of novel L-galactose-containing oligosaccharide subunits of jojoba seed xyloglucans. *Carbohydr Res* **1997**, *304* (1), 11-20.
- Hollmann, J.; Elbegzaya, N.; Pawelzik, E.; Lindhauer, M. G., Isolation and characterization of glucuronoarabinoxylans from wheat bran obtained by classical and ultrasound-assisted extraction methods. *Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods* 2009, 1 (4), 231-239.
- 27. Marchessault, R. H.; Taylor, M. G.; Winter, W. T., ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectra of poly- β -D(1 \rightarrow 4) mannose: mannan. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* **1990**, *68* (7), 1192-1195.